



JRC TECHNICAL REPORT

Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing - Part 2 – Interoperability

Martirano, G., Toth, K.



This publication is a Technical report by the Joint Research Centre (JRC), the European Commission's science and knowledge service. It aims to provide evidence-based scientific support to the European policymaking process. The contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the position or opinion of the European Commission. Neither the European Commission nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission is responsible for the use that might be made of this publication. For information on the methodology and quality underlying the data used in this publication for which the source is neither Eurostat nor other Commission services, users should contact the referenced source. The designations employed and the presentation of material on the maps do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the European Union concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Contact information

Name: Katalin Tóth
Address: Via E. Fermi, 2749 – 21027 Ispra (VA), Italy
Email: Katalin.TOTH@ec.europa.eu

EU Science Hub

<https://joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu>

JRC132427

EUR 31740 EN

PDF ISBN 978-92-68-09585-0 ISSN 1831-9424 doi:10.2760/646422 KJ-NA-31-740-EN-N

Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union, 2023

© European Union, 2023



The reuse policy of the European Commission documents is implemented by the Commission Decision 2011/833/EU of 12 December 2011 on the reuse of Commission documents (OJ L 330, 14.12.2011, p. 39). Unless otherwise noted, the reuse of this document is authorised under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) licence (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). This means that reuse is allowed provided appropriate credit is given and any changes are indicated.

For any use or reproduction of photos or other material that is not owned by the European Union permission must be sought directly from the copyright holders. The European Union does not own the copyright in relation to the following elements:

- Cover page illustration, © Giacomo Martirano

How to cite this report: Martirano, G. and Toth, K., *Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing - Part 2 – Interoperability*, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2023, doi:10.2760/646422, JRC132427.

Contents

- Abstract 1
- Acknowledgements 2
- Executive summary 3
- 1 Introduction 5
- 2 Overview 9
 - 2.1 Name 9
 - 2.2 Informal description 9
 - Similarities with INSPIRE spatial data themes 9
 - 2.3 Normative References 9
 - 2.4 Terms and definitions 9
- 3 Data content and structure 11
 - 3.1 Application schemas – Overview 11
 - 3.2 Basic notions 11
 - 3.2.1 Notation 11
 - 3.2.2 Voidable characteristics 11
 - 3.2.3 Enumerations 11
 - 3.2.4 Code lists 12
 - 3.2.5 Consistency between spatial data sets 13
 - 3.2.6 Identifier management 14
 - 3.2.7 Geometry representation 14
 - 3.2.8 Temporality representation 14
 - 3.3 Application schema LPIS 15
 - 3.3.1 Narrative description and UML overview 15
 - 3.3.2 LPIS Feature catalogue 19
 - 3.4 Application schema GSA 44
 - 3.4.1 Narrative description and UML overview 44
 - 3.4.2 GSA Feature catalogue 44
- 4 Reference systems, units of measure and grids 49
 - 4.1 Default reference systems, units of measure and grid 49
 - 4.1.1 Coordinate reference systems 49
 - 4.2 Display 50
 - 4.3 Identifiers for coordinate reference systems 50
- 5 Data quality 52
 - 5.1 Data quality elements 52
 - 5.1.1 Completeness – Commission 53
 - 5.1.2 Logical consistency – Domain consistency 54
 - 5.1.3 Logical Consistency – Topological consistency 55

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 5.1.4 | Data Quality – Positional accuracy – Absolute or external accuracy | 56 |
| 5.1.5 | Data Quality – Positional accuracy – Relative or internal accuracy | 57 |
| 5.1.6 | Data Quality – Thematic accuracy – Quantitative attribute accuracy - percentage | 57 |
| 5.1.7 | Data Quality – Thematic accuracy – Quantitative attribute accuracy - conformance | 58 |
| 5.2 | Minimum data quality requirements..... | 59 |
| 6 | Dataset level metadata..... | 60 |
| 7 | Delivery..... | 61 |
| 7.1 | Updates..... | 61 |
| 7.2 | Delivery medium..... | 61 |
| 7.3 | Encodings..... | 62 |
| 7.3.1 | Default Encoding(s)..... | 62 |
| 7.3.2 | Alternative Encoding..... | 63 |
| 8 | Data Capture..... | 64 |
| 9 | Portrayal..... | 65 |
| 9.1 | Layers to be provided by INSPIRE view services | 65 |
| 9.2 | Styles required to be supported by INSPIRE view services | 66 |
| 9.2.1 | Styles for the layer LPIS.AgriculturalArea..... | 66 |
| 9.2.2 | Styles for the layer LPIS.NonAgriculturalEligibleArea..... | 67 |
| 9.2.3 | Styles for the Layer LPIS.ReferenceParcel..... | 67 |
| 9.2.4 | Styles for the layer LPIS.LandscapeFeature | 68 |
| 9.2.5 | Styles for the layer LPIS.EcologicalFocusArea..... | 68 |
| 10 | Conclusions..... | 70 |
| | References..... | 71 |
| | List of abbreviations and definitions..... | 73 |
| | List of figures..... | 75 |
| | List of tables..... | 76 |
| | Annex 1. Extension example of the agricultural area code list in Bulgaria..... | 77 |
| | Annex 2. Extension of the functional LF types in Lithuania..... | 78 |
| | Annex 3. Example of LPIS harmonised dataset in Lithuania..... | 80 |
| | Annex 4. Example of LPIS data transformation toward INSPIRE LC data theme..... | 83 |
| | Annex 5. Example of GSA data transformation toward INSPIRE LU data theme..... | 86 |

Abstract

The Land Parcel Identification System (LPIS) and Geospatial Application (GSA) harmonised data models described in this report represent a concrete proposal to solve the lack of harmonisation of LPIS and the GSA datasets across Europe. Currently, this is the main obstacle to interoperability of the spatial datasets of the Integrated Administration and Control Systems (IACS), which is the main information system on the national or regional implementations of the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP).

In addition to the common data models for LPIS and GSA this report provides a full data specification according to INSPIRE. Despite preserving the IACS semantics, the proposed solution facilitates the creation of INSPIRE conformant datasets from the LPIS and GSA implementations of the Member States.

Within the IACS context, this report “Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing – Part 2 – Data interoperability” follows the report “Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing – Part 1 – Data discovery”, published in 2020.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the work of Andrius Kučas, who substantially contributed to the first European data model of IACS, which became the starting point of working on interoperability aspects with INSPIRE.

The Authors also thank the experts of the Paying Agencies, INSPIRE, and other consultants of the Member States. Rositsa Ivanova Stoyanova and Lora Stoeva implemented the LULUCF use case, Bernadett Csonka the use case related to crop classification, while Jūratė Kučienė, Michal Dobíhal, Kateřina Hátlová, Lucie Šavelková, Ondřej Šilháček Jorge Mata Lopez, Julián Delgado Hernández, Alba Marcos Sanz, Ana Rita Serna Martínez and Bernadett Csonka worked on interoperability aspects of landscape features.

Andrius Kučas, Erik Novyzedlák and Martin Tuchyňa provided input for feasibility checking of transforming the LPIs schemas according to the specifications presented in this report.

The first version of this ethnical guideline was discussed at the IACS –INSPIRE data sharing workshop, held at the end of February 2023. The input of Wideke Boersma, Bernadett Csonka, Ciara Delaney, Daniela Di Medici, Rositsa Ivanova-Stoyanova, Jurate Kuciene, Pierluigi Londero, Anne Marechal, Jorge Mata, Pavel Milenov Simone Scarpa and Lars Storgaard at this workshop is much appreciated.

The work of the INSPIRE data specification drafting team as well as the experts of JRC T.1 Digital Economy unit are also acknowledged, who prepared and maintained the data specification template that is used in this document.

Colleagues from JRC D.5 are thanked for maintaining MarsWiki, with all information needed in the Data quality and Updates sections. Special thanks to Carolina Puerta Pinero (JRC D.5) and Ana Luisa Rodrigues (DG AGRI C.1) for reviewing the manuscript.

We also thank all contributors who commented the initial discussion paper on interoperability in 2020 and participated in the review of the current documents.

Last, but not least, we also value the contributions of Jordi Escriu, who performed the JRC internal review of this report and thank Mohamed El-Aydam (DG AGRI A.4) and Piotr Wojda (JRC D.3) for their support and overall coordination of the IACS-INSPIRE data sharing project.

Authors

Giacomo Martirano, Katalin Tóth

Executive summary

Policy context

Data sharing is a process consisting of two main stages: enabling data discovery, by means of metadata published in data catalogues, and enabling data interoperability by means of common data models, semantics and publication protocols for data harmonisation. This report “Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing – Part 2 – Data interoperability” follows the report “Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing – Part 1 – Data discovery¹”, published in 2020, providing a full technical guidance in the subject.

IACS is composed of databases (subsystems) managing several datasets, among them the Identification System of Agricultural Parcels (a.k.a LPIS - Land Parcel Identification System) and the GSA (Geo Spatial Application, i.e. declaration of area-based interventions from the beneficiaries of CAP). This report provides interoperability target specification for the LPIS and GSA datasets, strictly adhering to the principles of the INSPIRE Directive² (European Parliament and the Council of the European Union 2007), which, widely adopted in all Member States, provided a fertile ground for spatial data interoperability.

Monitoring and evaluation of the new performance based CAP requires higher level of data interoperability both on the level of the Member States (MS) to integrate various thematic datasets, and among the implementations between the MS. Moreover, reusing spatial data of IACS is very important in the context of the European Green Deal. A number of policies, such as LULUCF (Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry)³, Biodiversity strategy⁴, Nature Restoration Law⁵, Zero pollution⁶, etc. are likely to benefit from increased interoperability.

Key conclusions and main findings

This report contains a proposal to solve the lack of harmonisation of the LPIS and GSA datasets across Europe, which is currently a big obstacle to IACS data sharing and interoperability.

A full documentation of the LPIS and GSA datasets have been defined according to the structure of INSPIRE data specifications, together with default gml encoding for the application schemas.

The proposed solution is not an extension of the current INSPIRE data models documented in Unified Modelling Language (UML). It is a simplified version of the IACS domain model (Tóth and Kučas 2016), which by preserving the IACS semantics facilitates the creation of INSPIRE conformant datasets from the national or regional implementations of the LPIS and the GSA datasets.

Interoperability of the spatial datasets is related to the standardisation of the land cover and land use (LCLU) concepts. Apart of INSPIRE, work of ISO TC211 and the Copernicus EIONET Action Group on Land Monitoring in Europe (EAGLE)⁷ are also relevant. EAGLE is supporting the European Environment Agency (EEA) to develop a new paradigm of LCLU information managing. The developments of EAGLE may contribute to the future revisions of this TG. ISO 19144-2⁸ and -3⁹ standards to deal with LCLU. The current updates of these standards have taken into account the specific requirements of the Common Agricultural policy (CAP).

Related and future JRC work

The Technical Guidelines (TG) contained in this report will be shared with DG AGRI and the MS that can comment it and test by means of voluntary pilots. The collected feedback will lead to a revision of the TG and the publication of the final version. In parallel, the endorsement procedure will be discussed and agreed with JRC, DG AGRI and the INSPIRE MIG (Maintenance and Implementation Group).

¹ <https://publications.jrc.ec.europa.eu/repository/handle/JRC121450>

² Directive 2007/2/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 March 2007 establishing an Infrastructure for Spatial Information in the European Community (<https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dir/2007/2/2019-06-26>)

³ https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L_.2018.156.01.0001.01.ENG

⁴ https://environment.ec.europa.eu/strategy/biodiversity-strategy-2030_en

⁵ https://environment.ec.europa.eu/publications/nature-restoration-law_en

⁶ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=COM%3A2021%3A400%3AFIN>

⁷ <https://land.copernicus.eu/eagle>

⁸ <https://www.iso.org/standard/44342.html>

⁹ <https://committee.iso.org/sites/tc211/home/projects/projects---complete-list/iso-19144-3.html>

This TG on interoperability has an impact on Part 1 – Data discovery. Even though this impact is not substantial, the necessary changes will be made as soon as the final version of this TG is approved after the stakeholders' review.

Quick guide

After an introductory section (sections 1 and 2), section 3 provides the LPIS and GSA data models, while sections 4-9 document other data specification elements according to the INSPIRE template. Conclusions are provided in section 10.

A preliminary test of this TG has been successfully executed, producing a harmonised LPIS dataset, as described in Annex 1.

A conceptual mapping from the LPIS data model to two INSPIRE data themes (LU/LC) and from the GSA data model to the INSPIRE theme LU have been developed and provided in Annexes 4, 5 and 6.

1 Introduction

The sound and transparent management of the CAP is ensured through an integrated system, a.k.a IACS¹⁰, the Integrated Administration and Control System, which is set up and run by the Member States (MS). This system is composed by different databases (datasets), among them the LPIS (Identification system for agricultural parcels, or as widely known, Land Parcel Identification System) and the GSA (Geo Spatial Application, formerly called as GSAA - Geo Spatial Aid Application, i.e. information from the beneficiaries of CAP). The evolution of the CAP, notably the necessity to assess the environmental and climate performance, requires more collaboration with different communities (e.g. statistics, forestry, agriculture, climate, environment.). Data sharing, including interoperability between the systems and access to the data are the key enablers of this process. With views of building a European data economy as part of the Digital Single Market strategy, the European Commission solicits to share the spatial information residing in the IACS.

The Internal Audit Service of the Commission concluded that the geospatial information included in the IACS of the MS has to be shared according to INSPIRE. The knowledge and the physical infrastructure resulting from the implementation of the INSPIRE Directive give an opportunity for the IACS community, including the Paying Agencies (PA) and the farmers, to get involved in the Common European Data Space - a seamless digital area, which enables the development of new products and services based on data.

The FAIR data principles¹¹, also supported by the EU, mark an important refinement of the concepts needed to give data greater value and enhance their propensity for reuse, by humans and by machines. For this to be the case, data should be Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable to the greatest extent possible. (European Commission DG RTD 2018). The activities connected to the IACS spatial data sharing perfectly complies with the FAIR principles.

In 2020 the JRC Technical Report “Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing. Part 1 – Data discovery” (Tóth and Milenov 2020) moved the first important steps toward findability and accessibility, setting the rules for data discovery. These rules build on the opportunity to re-use the INSPIRE principles to create metadata for LPIS and GSA datasets and publish them in national/regional geospatial data catalogues which are harvested by the INSPIRE Geoportal. Key requirements to be fulfilled by data providers when creating their metadata consist in the use of mandatory keywords, which facilitate LPIS and GSA data discovery from a semantic point of view, apart from adopting the INSPIRE Metadata Technical Guidelines.

Regarding metadata publication, it is important to highlight the big organisational effort spent by data providers, who had to opt for the set-up of their own discovery service or for the hosting of their metadata within national/regional geospatial data catalogues which are, directly or indirectly, harvested by the INSPIRE Geoportal¹². For both options they had to establish contacts with national INSPIRE contact points, who ultimately enabled the discoverability of LPIS and GSA datasets in the INSPIRE Geoportal, by means of standardised and harmonised datasets of metadata, conformant to INSPIRE metadata TG requirements. The main outcome of this first step is the possibility to use the search tool of the INSPIRE Geoportal to discover LPIS and GSA datasets, using one of the keywords referred to above.

The lack of harmonisation of LPIS and GSA datasets across Europe is still a big obstacle to IACS data sharing and interoperability. Removing this obstacle would be beneficial for several actors engaged in the different phases of the CAP life-cycle, including DG AGRI and other EU institutions, national/regional Paying Agencies, farmers associations, supporting industry and single farmers.

Harmonised and interoperable LPIS and GSA datasets would facilitate the application of standardised procedures (e.g. to generate statistics, perform data analytics and modelling future scenarios for supporting decision makers), generating, in turn, remarkable efficiency and effectiveness gains for every actor along the agricultural value chain.

Despite this achievement represents an important milestone in the IACS data sharing roadmap, the second strategic step consists in the provision of a common data model for IACS data, enabling the use of common semantics and harmonised spatial representations across the EU. This work, however, can capitalise on the collaboration established in phase of agreeing on the rules of data discovery.

¹⁰ The term integrated administration and control system is referred to as “integrated system” in Regulation (EU) 2021/2116. For a simple and unambiguous referencing, as well as for continuity of terminology we retain the IACS abbreviation.

¹¹ https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FAIR_data

¹² <https://inspire-geoportal.ec.europa.eu/>

Actually, in terms of data harmonisation, and re-using the INSPIRE roadmap jargon, the IACS data currently discoverable are “as-is” datasets, i.e. they do not share a common data model and are not yet fully semantically interoperable.

Indeed the current requirements contained in the “Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing. Part 1 – Data discovery”, namely TG Requirement 9 and TG Requirement 10, demand that “LPIS and GSAA data published under INSPIRE shall be assigned to the Land Cover (LC) and Land Use (LU) INSPIRE data themes respectively, but without specific instructions about how to harmonise them.

Within this context, this report provides a common data model for LPIS datasets and a common data model for GSA datasets and contains a full data specification on LPIS and full data specification on GSA, provided as data specifications on an INSPIRE theme, adhering to the INSPIRE “Methodology for the development of data specifications”¹³ (INSPIRE Data Specifications Drafting Team 2008).

The starting point of this work is represented by two major inputs. On one hand, the JRC has developed the IACS domain model documented in Unified Modelling Language (UML) and taking into consideration the INSPIRE data specifications and its conceptual basis (Generic Conceptual Model (INSPIRE Data Specification Drafting Team 2014) and the use of ISO TC 211 standards). This model has been significantly simplified, leaving out all information that is related to the internal management of IACS, such as links to payments, beneficiaries, controls, etc. On the other hand, already in frame of IACS-INSPIRE data sharing initiative, the stakeholders, comprising the Paying Agencies and other bodies of national public administration (e.g. ministries, cadastral agencies), as well as the national INSPIRE contact points, participated in exchange of views triggered by a discussion paper and followed by a survey. This latter provided an important input on the degree of data harmonisation accepted by the stakeholders, as well on preferred solutions (e.g. choice of code lists, choice of INSPIRE data themes).

The original idea presented in the discussion paper was to split the LPIS dataset based on its feature types and harmonise every feature type according to the concepts of that INSPIRE data theme, which was found to be the most similar in the content. The three related INSPIRE data themes were:

- Land Cover (LC) (INSPIRE Thematic Working Group on Land Cover 2013),
- Land Use (LU) (INSPIRE Thematic Working Group on Land Use 2013) and
- Area management / restriction / regulation zones & reporting units (AM)¹⁴.

This could have been implemented by extending these INSPIRE themes. However, this approach has been disregarded, because it would force the stakeholders to use the INSPIRE semantics of the three candidate themes, which means giving up the opportunity to preserve the naming conventions of IACS. Since the LPIS and the GSA are among the best harmonised datasets at the EU level (they respond to the same functional requirements stipulated by EU law,) this step would not add value, rather would introduce ambiguity. Therefore, the above mentioned LPIS and GSA UML models, together with the corresponding physical models are proposed in the form of a new application schemas, which help to achieve LPIS and GSA data interoperability across Europe, based on the principles of INSPIRE, expressed in the INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model (GCM) (INSPIRE Data Specification Drafting Team 2014) and Methodology for Developing Data Specifications (INSPIRE Data Specifications Drafting Team 2008).

Indeed, in a wider perspective, the adoption of the common LPIS and GSA data model facilitates the creation of conformant LU/LC INSPIRE datasets, using the transformations described in Annex 4-6 to this report. This two-step approach supports whatever political decision is taken in the context of INSPIRE (mandatory harmonisation towards existing INSPIRE themes vs. presenting LPIS and GSA data harmonised according to the new data models described in this report). It should be noted that in the context of the High Value Datasets¹⁵ or the upcoming Agricultural data spaces, the original IACS semantics is used.

The harmonised LPIS and GSA datasets with INSPIRE is expected to facilitate the reuse of environmental information included in INSPIRE, which could be beneficial for the Competent Authorities and for the Paying Agencies too.

¹³ <https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/documents/methodology-development-data-specifications-baseline-version-d-26-version-30>

¹⁴ <https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/Themes/139/2892>

¹⁵ https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg_impl/2023/138/oj

Regarding the LPIS data model described in this report, it is a simplified version of the “Land Parcel Identification System” and “Applications and Payment Claims” data models contained in the overall IACS domain model (Tóth and Kučas 2016). This simplified version has been obtained keeping four spatial objects of LPIS represented by the following feature types: AgriculturalArea, ReferenceParcel, LandscapeFeature, and EcologicalFocusArea, and removing all the objects containing information of administrative nature. In order to comply with Title III of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115, the model was completed by NonAgriculturalEligibleArea feature type.

Regarding the GSA data model described in this report, it is a simplified version of the “Aid applications and payment claims” data model contained in the overall IACS data model. This simplified version has been obtained keeping the only spatial object represented by the AgriculturalParcel feature type and removing all the other objects containing information of administrative nature.

It should be noted that the work on IACS-INSPIRE interoperability started in the period when IACS was governed by Regulations 1305/2013, 1306/2013 and 1307/2013, to be continued under the new CAP Regulations; notably when 2021/2115 and 2021/2116 entered in force. In terms of spatial information the only changes are related to abolishing the concept of the ecological focus area and introducing the non-agricultural eligible area as part of the LPIS. In addition, in the context of Performance Monitoring and Evaluation Framework (PMEF), the environmental and climate aspects gain more importance. This may require extending the spatial information content of IACS, keeping in mind the principle of no double counting. Inserting or extending landscape features (LF) in existing spatial datasets is one of the direction that MS might take in the future. Therefore, LF have been included in this TG as an anticipatory measure. A quick overview of the changes is given in **Table 1**.

Table 1. Comparison of terminology applied to the feature types of the “old” and “new” CAP

| Old Terms | | Active Terms (Terms to be used) | | | |
|-----------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------|---|
| | | Historical Data purpose | | Current | |
| EFA | Ecological Focus Area | EFA | Ecological Focus Area | | |
| GSAA | Geospatial aid application | | | GSA | Geospatial application |
| IACS | Integrated Administration and Control System | | | IACS | Integrated Administration and Control System |
| LPIS | Land Parcel Identification System | | | LPIS | Land Parcel Identification System |
| RP | Reference Parcel | | | RP | Reference Parcel |
| NAEA | Non-agricultural area | | | NAEA | Non-agricultural eligible area (also as part of LPIS) |
| LF | Landscape feature | | | LF | Landscape feature |

It should be also noted that the name of Geospatial Aid Application (GSAA) has changed to Geospatial Application (GSA) as stipulated by Article 65(4)(a) and Article 66(1)(b) of Regulation 2021/2116. Since GSAA is a relatively newer term (introduced in 2013), which, unlike to LPIS, is less known in the user communities, it is reasonable to change the terminology now, before it gets a wider spread.

Regarding the roadmap to endorse the LPIS and GSA data specifications, the Technical Guidelines (TG) contained in this report will be shared with DG AGRI and the Paying Agencies (PA) and tested by means of voluntary pilots. The feedback collected will lead to a revision of the TG and the publication of the final version. In parallel, the endorsement procedure will be discussed and agreed with JRC, DG AGRI and the INSPIRE MIG (Maintenance and Implementation Group). This step will include the transformation of this IACS data Technical Guidelines to markdown format, in order to facilitate its dissemination and future update in a GitHub environment¹⁶.

A preliminary test of this TG, described in Annex 1, has been executed, producing an harmonised LPIS dataset from two “as-is” LPIS datasets.

¹⁶ <https://github.com/INSPIRE-MIF/technical-guidelines/tree/main/data>

As a final introductory remark, the structure of this report adheres to the structure of an INSPIRE data specification on a data theme, adopting for requirements and recommendations the styles shown below:

TG Requirement X. Notation and role

This style is used for requirements that shall be fulfilled by data providers to share spatial information according to these Technical Guidelines.

TG Recommendation Y. Notation and role

This style is used for recommendations that may help data providers to share spatial information according to these Technical Guidelines.

2 Overview

2.1 Name

Data specification for LPIS and GSA datasets.

2.2 Informal description

Definition:

Land Parcel Identification System, a subsystem of Integrated Administration and Control System (IACS) as defined by Art. 68 of Regulation 1306/2013 (European Parliament and the Council of the European Union 2013) or Art. 68 of Regulation 2021/2116 (European Parliament and the Council 2021) referred to as “Identification system for agricultural parcels”.

Geo-Spatial Application, means an electronic application form that includes an information technology application based on a geographic information system that allows beneficiaries to spatially declare the agricultural parcels of the holding and non-agricultural areas claimed for payment, defined by Art. 68 of Regulation 1306/2013 or Art. 65 of Regulation 2021/2116 referred to as “geo-spatial application”.

Description:

LPIS is a spatial dataset containing the delineations of reference parcels, agricultural and non-agricultural eligible area types and stable ecological focus areas, landscape features.

GSA contains delineations of agricultural parcels with cultivated crop or crop groups as submitted by the farmer in a given year.

Similarities with INSPIRE spatial data themes

There is a similarity in scope between certain LPIS and GSA feature types and the feature types of the following INSPIRE spatial data themes:

- Annex I: Cadastral Parcels (CP): similarity (in specific cases coincidence) between the reference parcel (ReferenceParcel) LPIS cadastral parcel (CadastralParcel - CP) INSPIRE feature types.
- Annex II: Land Cover (LC): similarity between the landscape feature (LandscapeFeature) LPIS and the land cover unit (LandCoverUnit - LC) INSPIRE feature types.
- Annex III: Land Use (LU): similarity between the agricultural area (AgriculturalArea) LPIS and existing land use object (ExistingLandUseObject - LU) INSPIRE feature types..
- Annex III: Land Use (LU): similarity between agricultural parcel (AgriculturalParcel) GSA feature type and existing land use object (ExistingLandUseObject - LU) INSPIRE feature types.

These similarities allow new INSPIRE-compliant LC/LU datasets to be derived from LPIS/GSA datasets and existing INSPIRE-compliant CP datasets to be reused to generate LPIS datasets.

The related data transformations are described in Annex 4-6.

2.3 Normative References

Regulation (EU) 1306/2013, Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 and Regulation (EU) 2021/2116.

2.4 Terms and definitions

General terms and definitions helpful for understanding the INSPIRE data specification documents are defined in the INSPIRE Glossary¹⁷.

The following LPIS specific terms are defined:

¹⁷ <https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/glossary>

- **Agricultural area:** any area taken up by arable land, permanent grassland, or agroforestry. (Art. 4(1)(e) of R (EU) 1307/2013) or area to be determined by each Member State in such a way as to comprise arable land, permanent crops and permanent grassland, including when they form agroforestry systems on that area (Art. 4(3) of R (EU) 2021/2115).
- **NonAgriculturalEligibleArea:** Land containing non-agricultural areas considered eligible by the Member States for receiving.
- **Ecological Focus Area:** Ecological focus areas as referred to in Article 46 of R (EU) 1307/2013 and its Delegated Regulation (EU) 639/2014 (European Commission 2014) (areas contributing to practices beneficial for the climate and the environment as referred to in Art. 43(2)(c) of R (EU) 1307/2013).
- **Landscape feature:** Elements of the agricultural area that are traditionally part of good agriculture cropping or utilization practices [R (EU) 640/2014 Art. 9(1)] and defined in accordance with Article 13 of Regulation (EU) 2021/2115.
- **Reference parcel:** a geographically delimited area retaining a unique identification as registered in the LPIS. a.k.a. identification system for agricultural parcels referred to in Art. 70 of R (EU) 1306/2013 or Art. 2(2) of R 2022/1172.

Definition of additional terms are provided in section 3.3.2 (LPIS Feature catalogue).


The following GSA specific terms are defined:

- **Agricultural parcel:** means a unit of agricultural area, defined by Member States, as determined in accordance with Article 4(3) of R (EU) 2021/2115.

Definition of additional terms are provided in section 3.4.2 (GSA Feature catalogue).

The above definitions describe the feature types (in INSPIRE spatial object types) of IACS, which are subject of this technical guidelines. Their relation to the real world and the spatial datasets of IACS are shown in **Figure 1**.

Figure 1. Spatial object (feature) types of IACS as abstracted from the real world



Note: Elements of the LPIS datasets are shown in red, while that of GSA in green.

3 Data content and structure

3.1 Application schemas – Overview

The types to be used for the exchange and classification of spatial objects from data sets related to the spatial data themes LPIS and GSA are defined in the following application schemas:

- LPIS application schema, which contains the concepts of agricultural area, non-agricultural eligible area, reference parcel, EFA and LF
- GSA application schema, which contains the concept of agricultural parcel.

The application schemas specify requirements on the properties of each spatial object including its multiplicity, domain of valid values, constraints, etc.

TG Requirement 1 Types for the Exchange and Classification of Spatial Objects

For the exchange and classification of spatial objects from data sets meeting the conditions laid down in Article 67 (3) and (4) of Regulation (EU) 2021/2116, Member States shall use the spatial object types and associated data types, enumerations and code lists that are defined in this section.

In practice, TG requirement 1 means that LPIS datasets shall be presented for data sharing according to the application schema defined in section 3.3, while the GSA datasets shall comply with the application schema specified in section 3.4 of this document.

As compared to the full information content of LPIS and GSA datasets the application schemas defined in this section are restricted to the spatial object types and data types that are required to their spatial management. When publishing these datasets according to INSPIRE, additional feature and data types may be present, respecting the requirements of R (EU) 2016/679¹⁸.

TG Requirement 2 Multiplicity

Spatial object types and data types shall comply with the multiplicities defined for the attributes and association roles in this section.

Similarly, TG Requirement 2 means that the properties (attributes and association roles) for LPIS and GSA datasets presented for data sharing shall comply with the multiplicities (i.e. cardinalities) defined in the application schemas in section 3.3 and 3.4 of this document, respectively.

3.2 Basic notions

3.2.1 Notation

The application schema included in this section is specified in Unified Modelling Language (UML), version 2.3. The spatial object types, their properties and associated types are shown in UML class diagrams¹⁹.

The use of a common conceptual schema language (i.e. UML) allows for an automated processing of application schemas and the encoding, querying and updating of data based on the application schema – across the different themes and different levels of detail.

3.2.2 Voidable characteristics

Voidable stereotype is not used in this data specification.

3.2.3 Enumerations

No enumerations are used in this data specification.

¹⁸ [GDPR] <http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2016/679/oj>

¹⁹ For an overview of the UML notation, see Annex D in [ISO 19103].

3.2.4 Code lists

The purpose of a code list is to present an agreed set of codes with multilingual names, definitions and descriptions to be used as values of properties and which might be shared and reused by a wide audience. Code lists serve as controlled vocabularies for the values of object properties.

The benefits are:

- interoperability is improved through greater consistency and precision of data,
- data consumers (client applications) know and understand the values used by data providers,
- reuse of code values is promoted via adoption and integration by developers and users,
- searching and recovery of data items becomes more reliable,
- there is less variation in coding, minimising the duplication of datasets.²⁰

Code lists are modelled as classes in the application schema. Their values, however, are managed outside of the application schema.

TG Requirement 3 Code Lists types

Code lists shall be of one of the following types:

- a) code lists whose allowed values comprise only the values specified in this TG;
- b) code lists whose allowed values comprise the values specified in this TG and narrower values defined by data providers;
- c) code lists whose allowed values comprise the values specified in this TG and additional values at any level defined by data providers.

The type of code list is represented in the UML model through the tagged value that describes its extensibility. It can take the following values:

- **none**, representing code lists whose allowed values comprise only the values specified in the TG (type a),
- **narrower**, representing code lists whose allowed values comprise the values specified in the TG and narrower values defined by data providers (type b),
- **open**, representing code lists whose allowed values comprise the values specified in the TG and additional values at any level defined by data providers (type c).

“Narrower” means a less aggregated value in a classification system. For example, durum wheat is a narrower value of cereals.

TG Requirement 4 Code Lists extensibility

Additional values defined by data providers shall not replace or redefine any value already specified in the TG.

TG Requirement 5 Code Lists registers

Where, for an attribute whose type is a code list as referred to in points (b) or (c) of TG Requirement 2, a data provider provides a value that is not specified in this TG, that value and its definition shall be made available in a publicly accessible register.

Regarding the code lists governance, two types of code lists are distinguished:

- Code lists that are governed by an EU organisation (e.g. DG-AGRI, Eurostat) (EU governed code lists). These code lists will be managed centrally in a dedicated EU code list register, publicly accessible at https://<Domain_name.eu>/codelist/<CodeListName>²¹. They will be available in SKOS/RDF, XML and HTML.

²⁰ Annex G of INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model, v3.4

²¹ The link will be updated as soon as a final or temporary agreement is reached concerning the location of the registries.

The maintenance will follow the procedures defined in ISO 19135. This means that the only allowed changes to a code list are the addition, deprecation or supersession of values, i.e. no value will ever be deleted, but only receive different statuses (valid, deprecated superseded). Identifiers for values of EU governed code lists will be constructed using the pattern `https://<Domain_name.eu>/codelist/<CodeListName>/<value>`. The possibility that EU-governed code lists will be managed in the INSPIRE code list register will be investigated.

- Code lists that are governed by an organisation outside of DG-AGRI/Eurostat/INSPIRE (externally governed code lists). These code lists are managed by an organisation outside the Commission (e.g. the Paying Agencies, or LPIS custodians.) A typical example of externally governed code list is represented by national code lists which may extend with narrower values the parent values of AgriculturalAreaTypeValue code list. The externally governed code lists will be managed in dedicated code list registers publicly accessible at `https://<Domain_name.xx>/codelist/<CodeListName>`. They will be available in SKOS/RDF, XML and HTML. The maintenance will follow the procedures defined in ISO 19135. This means that the only allowed changes to a code list are the addition, deprecation or supersession of values, i.e. no value will ever be deleted, but only receive different statuses (valid, deprecated superseded). Identifiers for values of externally governed code lists will be constructed using the pattern `https://<Domain_name.xx>/codelist/<CodeListName>/<value>`.

TG Recommendation 1 Code Lists encoding

Code list values should be encoded using http URIs and labels, to be generated according to the relevant rules specified by the organizations managing the code lists registers and encoded following the rules applicable to the data format used.

When appropriate, encodings also may use a short value (i.e. a code) only.

EXAMPLE 1. Encoding http URIs and labels in GML:

```
<gsa:mainCrop xlink:href="http://dd.eionet.europa.eu/vocabulary/eurostat/crops/C0000T"  
xlink:title="C0000T">C0000T</gsa:mainCrop>
```

EXAMPLE 2. Short value encoding in GeoPackage²²:

The cell containing a code list value only has to contain the code C0000T. The code list URI has to be documented in the schema documentation.

Regarding code list multilingualism, in order to preserve semantic interoperability, code lists values belonging to both types of code lists above described (EU and externally governed code lists) will be provided in English. Where data providers are willing to use code lists values in their national language, they will have to add the related national language translations in the original code list in English.

TG Requirement 6 Code Lists multilingualism

Code lists values of all types of code lists shall be provided in English. Code lists values provided in national languages may be used. In this case, their national language translations will be added in the original code lists in English.

3.2.5 Consistency between spatial data sets

Currently, there are no consistency rules other than those defined within the application schemas. Taking into account that the LPIS implementations of the MS can follow different conceptual design in terms of the reference parcel type, the consistency with the parent dataset used for the production (e.g. cadastral parcels, orthoimagery) should be preserved.

²² GeoPackage as alternative encoding is referred to in section 7.3.1.3

3.2.6 Identifier management

LPIS and GSA spatial objects identifiers are managed by means of character string type attributes in the relevant feature types (agriculturalAreaId, nonAgriculturalEligibleAreaId, referenceParcelId, landscapeFeatureId, ecologicalFocusAreaId).

TG Requirement 7 Unique and persistent identifiers

LPIS and GSA identifiers shall be unique and shall not change during the life-cycle of a spatial object.

TG Recommendation 2 Syntax of unique and persistent identifiers

To ensure uniqueness of the identifiers across Europe, PAs or other custodians of the related data should use a unique syntax for the identifiers according to the principles set in the INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model.

3.2.7 Geometry representation

TG Requirement 8 Geometry representation

The value domain of spatial properties defined in this TG shall be restricted to the Simple Feature spatial schema as defined in OGC 06-103r4, *OpenGIS Implementation Standard for Geographic Information Simple feature access - Part 1: Common architecture*. Version 1.2.1. 28²³ May 2011.

The specification restricts the spatial schema to 0-, 1-, 2-, and 2,5-dimensional geometries where all curve interpolations are linear and surface interpolations are planar.

The topological relations of two spatial objects based on their specific geometry and topology properties can in principle be investigated by invoking the operations of the types defined in ISO 19107 (or the methods specified in OGC 06-103r4).

3.2.8 Temporality representation

To record the lifespan of a spatial object, the attributes "beginLifespanVersion" and "endLifespanVersion" of the FeatureLevelMetadata data type are used.

The attribute "beginLifespanVersion" specifies the date and time at which this version of the spatial object was inserted or changed in the spatial data set. The attribute "endLifespanVersion" specifies the date and time at which this version of the spatial object was superseded or retired in the spatial data set.

The attribute specifies the beginning of the lifespan of the version in the spatial data set itself, which is different from the temporal characteristics of the real-world phenomenon described by the spatial object. This lifespan information supports mainly two requirements: First, knowledge about the spatial data set content at a specific time; second, knowledge about changes to a data set in a specific time frame.

Changes to the attribute "endLifespanVersion" does not trigger a change in the attribute "beginLifespanVersion".

TG Requirement 9 Life-cycle of Spatial Objects

Where the attribute endLifespanVersion is used, its value shall not be before the value of beginLifespanVersion.

EXAMPLE. A reference parcel was created on 03 August 2004. This date is its beginLifespanVersion value. Then this parcel has been split due to a construction of a highway that intersects it. This change is registered in LPIS on 25 November 2007. This date is the value of the endLifespanVersion attribute of the original parcel, which is naturally later, than the date of creation.

²³ http://portal.opengeospatial.org/files/?artifact_id=25355

To record the validity of the real-world phenomenon represented by a spatial object, the attributes "validFrom" and "validTo" of the FeatureLevelMetadata data type are used.

The attribute "validFrom" specifies the date and time at which the real-world phenomenon became valid in the real world. The attribute "validTo" specifies the date and time at which the real-world phenomenon is no longer valid in the real world.

TG Requirement 10 Temporal validity of Spatial Objects

Where the attribute validTo is used, its value shall not be before the value of validFrom.

3.3 Application schema LPIS

3.3.1 Narrative description and UML overview

LPIS application schema consists of five main interrelated spatial objects:

- AgriculturalArea
- ReferenceParcel
- LandscapeFeature
- NonAgriculturalEligibleArea
- EcologicalFocusArea

whose definitions are provided in section 2.4. Please note that AgriculturalParcel belongs to the GSA application schema presented in Section 3.4. Each of these spatial objects is made of a series of object-specific attributes (of different types), constraints and relationships.

Some attributes and relationships are mandatory, some others are optional.

To preserve the semantic harmonisation and interoperability of LPIS datasets, the type of those attributes providing information about different classification systems is a code list.

In terms of relationships among the four main spatial objects:

- each AgriculturalArea and NonAgriculturalEligibleArea has to be mandatorily aggregated to one ReferenceParcel, whilst each ReferenceParcel is mandatorily associated to one or many AgriculturalArea(s), or NonAgriculturalEligibleArea(s). A ReferenceParcel cannot be associated at the same time to AgriculturalArea(s) and NonAgriculturalEligibleArea(s).
- each AgriculturalArea can be associated to one or many EcologicalFocusArea(s), whilst each EcologicalFocusArea, if existing, has to be mandatorily aggregated to one or many AgriculturalArea(s),
- each AgriculturalArea can be associated to one or many LandscapeFeature(s), whilst each LandscapeFeature, can be aggregated or spatially linked to one or many AgriculturalArea(s),
- each EcologicalFocusArea, if existing, can be composed by one LandscapeFeature and each LandscapeFeature, if existing, can be associated to one EcologicalFocusArea.

An overview of the LPIS UML model is given in **Figure 2**. The details of this model are provided in **Figure 3**, **Figure 4**, **Figure 5**, **Figure 6** and **Figure 8**. A complete and detailed description of all the application schema elements is contained in the LPIS Feature Catalogue (section 3.3.2).

Figure 2. LPIS UML model - overview




Figure 3. LPIS UML model - AgriculturalArea, LanscapeFeature and EcologicalFocusArea class diagrams and relationships




Figure 4. LPIS UML model – AgriculturalArea, NonAgriculturalEligibleArea and ReferenceParcel class diagrams and relationships




Figure 5. LPIS UML model - AgriculturalArea associated code list

| «CodeList» AgriculturalAreaTypeValue |
|--|
| + arableLand |
| + permanentCrop |
| + permanentGrassland |
| + agroforestry |

Figure 6. LPIS UML model - NonAgriculturalEligibleArea associated code list

| «CodeList» NonAgriculturalEligibleAreaTypeValue |
|---|
| + wetland |
| + peatland |
| + riverBasinManagement |
| + natura2000 |
| + otherEnvironmentalRestriction |

Figure 7. LPIS UML model - LandscapeFeature associated code list

| «CodeList» LandscapeFeatureValueType |
|--|
| + grassy |
| + stony |
| + wet |
| + woody |

Figure 8. LPIS UML model - EcologicalFocusArea associated code list

| «CodeList» EcologicalFocusAreaTypeValue |
|---|
| + afforestedAreas |
| + bufferStrips |
| + hectaresOfArgoForestry |
| + landscapeFeatureGroupOfTrees |
| + landscapeFeatureIsolatedTree |
| + landscapeFeatureTreesInLine |
| + landscapeFeaturesHedgesWoodedStrips |
| + landscapeFeatureFieldMargin |
| + landscapeFeatureDitches |
| + landscapeFeaturePonds |
| + landscapeFeatureTraditionalStoneWalls |
| + landscapeFeatureOtherProtectedByGaecSmr |
| + stripsOfEligibleHectaresAlongForestEdgesWithoutProduction |
| + terraces |

3.3.2 LPIS Feature catalogue

Table 2. Types defined in the LPIS feature catalogue

| Type | Package | Stereotypes |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| ReferenceParcel | LPIS | featureType |
| AgriculturalArea | LPIS | featureType |
| NonAgriculturalEligibleArea | LPIS | featureType |
| EcologicalFocusArea | LPIS | featureType |
| LandscapeFeature | LPIS | featureType |
| AgriculturalAreaTypeValue | LPIS | codeList |
| NonAgriculturalEligibleAreaValue | LPIS | codeList |
| EcologicalFocusAreaTypeValue | LPIS | codeList |
| LandscapeFeatureValueType | LPIS | codeList |

3.3.2.1 Feature types

3.3.2.1.1 ReferenceParcel

--Name--

Reference parcel

-- Definition --

Means a geographically delimited continuous area retaining a unique identification as registered in the LPIS, having one or many land cover/land use categorisation, a.k.a. identification system for agricultural parcels referred to in Article 70 of R (EU) No 1306/2013 or Art.2 of R (EU) 2022/1172.

-- Description --

Basic spatial unit for the administration and geographical localisation of agricultural parcels. May contain one or more declared agricultural parcels in IACS and may be cultivated by one or more farmers (or producers association). Does not necessarily cover a territory nationwide, but overlaps are not allowed.

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|------|-----------------|--|
| id | CharacterString | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Reference parcel ID</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> |

| | | |
|----------------------|------------|---|
| | | <p>Unique ID of reference parcel referred to in Article 70 of R (EU) No 1306/2013 or Art. 68 (2)(a) of R (EU) 2021/2116.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>European unique alphanumeric thematic identification code of reference parcel. It should follow the rules of INSPIRE when delivered for EU use.</p> |
| geometry | GM_Surface | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Geometry</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Spatial representation of the reference parcel as referred to in Art.5 of R (EU) 640/2014 or Art. 2(2) of R (EU) 2022/1172.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Geometry of reference parcel, representing its geographical dimension and position.</p> |
| beginLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>-- Name--</p> <p>Begin life span version</p> <p>--Definition--</p> <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describes the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions. [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was inserted or changed in the dataset.</p> |
| endLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>--Name --</p> <p>End life span version</p> <p>--Definition --</p> <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describe the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was superseded or retired in the dataset.</p> |
| validFrom | Date | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Valid from</p> |

| | | |
|---------|------|--|
| | | <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Official date when the object / feature has been (will be) in situ or legally established.</p> |
| validTo | Date | <p>--Name --</p> <p>Valid to</p> <p>--Definition --</p> <p>Official date at which the feature in situ (or legally) ceased (will cease) to be used.</p> |

Constraints

| Name | Notes |
|----------------------------|--|
| ReferenceParcelAssociation | /* ReferenceParcel shall be composed either by AgriculturalArea or by NonAgriculturalEligibleArea */ |
| endLifespanVersion | /* If set, the date endLifespanVersion shall be later than beginLifespanVersion. */ |
| validTo | /* If set, the date validTo shall be equal or later than validFrom. */ |

Relationships

| Association | Notes |
|---|--|
| <p>0..* ReferenceParcel. agriculturalAreaWithinReferenceParcel</p> <p>1 AgriculturalArea.referenceParcelIdentificationDetails</p> | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Association role between RP and agricultural area.</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Semantic relationship (aggregation) between ReferenceParcel and AgriculturalArea feature types.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>One reference parcel can be composed of one or more agricultural area types.</p> |
| <p>0..* ReferenceParcel. nonAgriculturalEligibleAreaWithinReferenceParcel</p> | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Association role between RP and non-agricultural eligible area</p> |

| Association | Notes |
|--|--|
| 1 NonAgriculturalEligibleArea.referenceParcelIdentificationDetails | -- Definition -- Semantic relationship (aggregation) between ReferenceParcel and NonAgriculturalEligibleArea feature types. -- Description -- One reference parcel can be composed of one or more non-agricultural eligible area types. |

3.3.2.1.2 AgriculturalArea

--**Name**--

Agricultural area

-- **Definition** --

Agricultural area means any area taken up by arable land, permanent grassland and permanent pasture, or permanent crops, including agroforestry systems on that area, as stipulated in Art. 4(1)(e) of R 1307/2013 or Art. 4(3) of R 2021/2115.

-- **Description** --

Areas taken by these land cover types, or their subtypes (narrower categories) comprising designated landscape features and/or trees included in these areas or adjacent to them. (European Commission DG AGRI 2016).

NOTE. MS may decide to implement LPIS as a full coverage of the country that also includes other land cover types including those, ineligible for any support scheme in IACS. Ineligible types cannot have spatial overlap with agricultural area and non-agricultural eligible area.

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---|
| id | CharacterString | -- Name -- Agricultural area ID -- Definition -- Unique ID of agricultural area. -- Description -- European unique alphanumeric identification code of agricultural area. |
| agriculturalAreaType | AgriculturalAreaTypeValue | -- Name -- Agricultural area type |

| | | |
|----------------------|------------|---|
| | | <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Agricultural area type.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Any area taken up by arable land, permanent grassland and permanent pasture, permanent crops or agroforestry system. 1307/2013 4(1)(e) or Art. 4(3) of R2021/2115.</p> |
| geometry | GM_Surface | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Geomety</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Spatial representation of agricultural area.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Direct representation of the feature by coordinates of its boundary.</p> |
| beginLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>-- Name--</p> <p>Begin life span version</p> <p>--Definition--</p> <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describes the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions. [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was inserted or changed in the dataset.</p> |
| endLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>--Name --</p> <p>End life span version</p> <p>--Definition --</p> <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describe the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was superseded or retired in the dataset.</p> |
| validFrom | Date | <p>--Name--</p> |

| | | |
|---------|------|---|
| | | Valid from -- Definition -- Official date when the object / feature has been (will be) in situ or legally established. |
| validTo | Date | --Name -- Valid to --Definition -- Official date at which the feature in situ (or legally) ceased (will cease) to be used. |

Constraints

| Name | Notes |
|---|---|
| agriculturalAreaTypeEcologicalFocusArea | /* Ecological focus areas may be only on or adjacent to arable land */ |
| endLifespanVersion | /* If set, the date endLifespanVersion shall be later than beginLifespanVersion. */ |
| validTo | /* If set, the date validTo shall be equal or later than validFrom. */ |

Relationships

| Association | Notes |
|---|--|
| 0..* AgriculturalArea. ecologicalFocusAreasAssociatedWithAgriculturalArea 1..* EcologicalFocusArea.agriculturalAreaIdentificationDetails | --Name-- Association role between agricultural area and EFA -- Definition -- Semantic relationship (aggregation) between AgriculturalArea and EcologicalFocusArea. -- Description -- EFA shall fulfil one of the following constraints [(Art.46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013): |

| Association | Notes |
|---|--|
| | <p>- shall be located on the arable land of the holding.</p> <p>- shall be adjacent to the arable land.</p> <p>NOTE. Ecological focus areas may be only on or adjacent to arable land.</p> |
| <p>0..* AgriculturalArea. landscapeFeaturesAssociatedWithAgriculturalArea</p> <p>1..* LandscapeFeature.agriculturalAreaDetailsForLandscapeFeature</p> | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Association role between agricultural area and landscape feature</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Semantic relationship (aggregation) between AgriculturalArea and LandscapeFeature.</p> |
| <p>0..* ReferenceParcel. agriculturalAreaWithinReferenceParcel</p> <p>1 AgriculturalArea.referenceParcelIdentificationDetails</p> | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Association role between RP and agricultural area</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Semantic relationship (aggregation) between ReferenceParcel and AgriculturalArea feature types.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>One reference parcel can be composed of one or more agricultural area type.</p> |

3.3.2.1.3 NonAgriculturalEligibleArea

-- Name --

Non-agricultural eligible area

-- Definition --

Land containing non-agricultural areas considered eligible by the Member States for receiving payment under Titel III, Chapter 4 of R (EU) 2021/2115.

-- Description --

Non-agricultural areas, such as wetlands, Natura 2000, river basin management etc., receiving rural development support according to the Strategic plans of the MS.

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|------|-----------------|---|
| id | CharacterString | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Non-agricultural eligible area ID</p> |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| | | <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Unique ID of non-agricultural eligible area.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>European unique alphanumeric identification code of agricultural area.</p> |
| geometry | GM_Surface | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Geomety</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Spatial representation of agricultural area.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Direct representation of the feature by coordinates of its boundary.</p> |
| nonAgriculturalEligibleAreaType | NonAgriculturalEligibleAreaTypeValue | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Non-agricultural eligible area type</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Types of land containing non-agricultural areas considered eligible by the Member States for receiving payment under Titel III, Chapter 4 of R (EU) 2021/2115</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Types of non-agricultural eligible area defined by the MS in their strategic plans.</p> |
| beginLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>-- Name--</p> <p>Begin life span version</p> <p>--Definition--</p> <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describes the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions. [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was inserted or changed in the dataset.</p> |
| endLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>--Name --</p> <p>End life span version</p> <p>--Definition --</p> |

| | | |
|-----------|------|---|
| | | <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describe the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was superseded or retired in the dataset.</p> |
| validFrom | Date | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Valid from</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Official date when the object / feature has been (will be) in situ or legally established.</p> |
| validTo | Date | <p>--Name --</p> <p>Valid to</p> <p>--Definition --</p> <p>Official date at which the feature in situ (or legally) ceased (will cease) to be used.</p> |

Constraints

| Name | Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| endLifespanVersion | /* If set, the date endLifespanVersion shall be later than beginLifespanVersion. */ |
| validTo | /* If set, the date validTo shall be equal or later than validFrom. */ |

NOTE. The **0..*** multiplicity of the association role between agricultural area and EFA means that EFA elements are not necessarily present in the dataset delivered for data sharing. This is especially the case after the new CAP regulations that abolished this concept.

Relationships

| Association | Notes |
|--|-----------------|
| 0..* ReferenceParcel. nonAgriculturalEligibleAreaWithinReferenceParcel | --Name-- |

| Association | Notes |
|--|---|
| 1 NonAgriculturalElibleArea.referenceParcelIdentificationDetails | Association role between the RP and non-agricultural eligible area -- Definition -- Semantic relationship (aggregation) between ReferenceParcel and NonAgriculturalEligibleArea feature types. -- Description -- One reference parcel can be composed of one or more non-agricultural eligible area type. |

3.3.2.1.4 EcologicalFocusArea

--Name--

Ecological Focus Area

-- Definition --

Ecological focus areas as referred to in Article 46 of R (EU) No1307/2013 and its Delegated Regulation (EU) No 639/2014.

-- Description --

Areas contributing to practices beneficial for the climate and the environment as referred to in Art. 43(2)(c) of (EU) R No 1307/2013.

NOTE. EFA is not applicable for datasets issued after 2023.

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|----------|-----------------|--|
| id | CharacterString | --Name-- Ecological focus area identifier -- Definition -- Unique thematic ID of ecological focus area. |
| geometry | GM_Object | --Name-- Geometry -- Definition -- Geometry of ecological focus area. |

| | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Representation of the geographical dimension/position of the ecological focus area. EFA may be represented as , point, curve, or surface depending on the options allowed by Art 46(3) of R (EU) 1307/2013 and the choice of the MS/region.</p> <p>NOTE 1: The established area referred in DSCG/2014/31 is derived from surface representation of the geometric extent.</p> <p>NOTE 2: The converted area referred in DSCG/2014/31 is derived from a geometric representation with reduced dimensions (curves or points)</p> <p>NOTE 3: In case of GM_Point geometry - Clementini point should be used.</p> <p>NOTE 4: When GM_curve representation is applied, it should be within the polygon that would represent the feature if full geometric extent was used.</p> |
| convertedArea | Area | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Ecological focus area</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Official area of EFA that can be accounted for fulfilling the obligation detailed in Art. 46(1) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>This is the area value after applying the eventual conversion and weighting factors (DSCG/2014/31).</p> <p>NOTE 1: According to DSCG/2014/31</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Established area: Area resulting from direct field measurement or from delineation using ortho imagery - Converted area: Virtual area of EFAs obtained when using the conversion factors of Annex II of R (EU) No 639/2014. <p>NOTE 2: EFA area shall be given in ha.</p> |
| ecologicalFocusAreaType | EcologicalFocusAreaType Value | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Ecological focus area type</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as listed in Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> |

| | | |
|----------------------|----------|---|
| | | <p>-- Description --</p> <p>The value of this attribute shall be taken from the EcologicalFocusAreaTypeValue code list.</p> |
| beginLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>-- Name--</p> <p>Begin life span version</p> <p>--Definition--</p> <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describes the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions. [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was inserted or changed in the dataset.</p> |
| endLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>--Name --</p> <p>End life span version</p> <p>--Definition --</p> <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describe the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was superseded or retired in the dataset.</p> |
| validFrom | Date | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Valid from</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Official date when the object / feature has been (will be) in situ or legally established.</p> |
| validTo | Date | <p>--Name --</p> <p>Valid to</p> <p>--Definition --</p> <p>Official date at which the feature in situ (or legally) ceased (will cease) to be used.</p> |

Constraints

| Name | Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| geometryType | /* Type of geometry shall be GM_Surface or GM_Curve or GM_Point*/ |
| convertedAreaUoM | /* Value of convertedArea shall be given in hectars. */ |
| endLifespanVersion | /* If set, the date endLifespanVersion shall be later than beginLifespanVersion. */ |
| validTo | /* If set, the date validTo shall be equal or later than validFrom. */ |

Relationships

| Association | Notes |
|--|---|
| <p>0..* AgriculturalArea. ecologicalFocusAreasAssociatedWithAgriculturalArea</p> <p>1..* EcologicalFocusArea.agriculturalAreaIdentificationDetails</p> | <p>--Name-- Association role between agricultural area and EFA</p> <p>-- Definition -- Semantic relationship (aggregation) between AgriculturalArea and EcologicalFocusArea.</p> <p>-- Description -- EFA shall fulfil one of the following constraints [(Art.46(2) of (EU) R No 1307/2013]: - shall be located on the arable land of the holding. - shall be adjacent to the arable land.</p> <p>NOTE. Ecological focus areas may be only on or adjacent to arable land.</p> |

NOTE. The **0..*** multiplicity of the association role between agricultural area and LF means that LF elements are not necessarily present in the dataset delivered for data sharing. In the period of the old CAP regulation mapping of LF was not mandatory (unless they were listed among the stable EFA elements).

3.3.2.1.5 LandscapeFeature

--Name--

Landscape feature

-- Definition--

Elements of the agricultural area that are traditionally part of good agriculture cropping or utilization practices according to R (EU) 640/2014 Art. 9(1)] or elements of the agricultural area that contribute to protection of biodiversity, conservation or restoration of habitats or species as stipulated by Art. Art.4 (b)(i) of R (EU) 2021/2015.

-- Description --

Landscape features are the fragments of permanent non-productive areas embedded in agricultural landscapes. They are subject to the retention obligation under GAEC standard 8 listed in Annex III of R (EU) 2021/2015.

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|---------------|-----------------|--|
| id | CharacterString | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Landscape feature identifier</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Unique thematic ID of landscape feature.</p> |
| geometry | GM_Object | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Geometry</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Geometry of landscape feature.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Representation of the geographical dimension/position of the landscape feature. LF may be represented as point, curve, or surface depending on the options allowed by Art 46(3) of R (EU) 1307/2013 and the choice of the MS/region, or Art. 7(d)(ii) and Art 7(e) of R (EU) 2022/1172.</p> |
| convertedArea | Area | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Landscape feature area</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Official area of LF recorded in LPIS.</p> <p>--Description--</p> |

| | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | <p>This area can be defined by measurement (delineation) or by numeric estimation.</p> <p>NOTE: Geometric representation and area are mandatory when a LF becomes an EFA element.</p> |
| landscapeFeatureType | LandscapeFeatureValueType | <p>--Name-- Landscape feature type</p> <p>-- Definition -- Landscape feature type as listed in Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description -- The value of this attribute are taken from the LandscapeFeatureValueType code list of the eligibility profile.</p> |
| beginLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>-- Name-- Begin life span version</p> <p>--Definition-- Set of properties of an object/feature that describes the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions. [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description -- Date and time at which this version of the feature was inserted or changed in the dataset.</p> |
| endLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>--Name -- End life span version</p> <p>--Definition -- Set of properties of an object/feature that describe the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description -- Date and time at which this version of the feature was superseded or retired in the dataset.</p> |
| validFrom | Date | <p>--Name-- Valid from</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> |

| | | |
|---------|------|--|
| | | Official date when the object / feature has been (will be) in situ or legally established. |
| validTo | Date | <p>--Name -- Valid to</p> <p>--Definition -- Official date at which the feature in situ (or legally) ceased (will cease) to be used.</p> |

Constraints

| Name | Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| geometryType | /* Type of geometry shall be GM_Surface or GM_Curve or GM_Point*/ |
| convertedAreaUoM | /* Value of convertedArea shall be given in hectares. */ |
| endLifespanVersion | /* If set, the date endLifespanVersion shall be later than beginLifespanVersion. */ |
| validTo | /* If set, the date validTo shall be equal or later than validFrom. */ |

Relationships

| Association | Notes |
|--|---|
| <p>0..* AgriculturalArea. landscapeFeaturesAssociatedWithAgriculturalArea</p> <p>1..* LandscapeFeature.agriculturalAreaIdentificationDetails</p> | <p>--Name-- Association role between agricultural area and landscape feature</p> <p>-- Definition -- Semantic relationship (aggregation) between AgriculturalArea and LandscapeFeature.</p> <p>-- Description -- Landscape features must be within (or adjacent in case of arable land) Agricultural areas (peer to peer Composition).</p> |

3.3.2.2 Code lists

3.3.2.2.1 AgriculturalAreaTypeValue

--Name--

Agricultural area type value

-- Definition --

Types of agricultural area.

-- Description --

List of agricultural area type values.

NOTE 1: According to DSCG/2014/33 arableLand, permanentCrop and permanetGrassland are the minimum level of details of land cover types needed for distinction of agricultural area.

NOTE 2: This code list can be extended with narrower categories replacing the listed broader code list values.

NOTE 3: This code list can be extended with any values, but these values cannot have a semantic overlap with the specified values. Furthermore, when implemented, no overlap of the representation geometries is allowed.

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|---------------|------|--|
| arableLand | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Arable land</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Land cultivated for crop production or areas available for crop production or lying fallow.</p> <p>--Description--</p> <p>It includes areas set aside in sense of Annex III to R(EU) 2021/2115, or with Articles 22, 23 or 24 of R (EC) 1257/1999, or with Article 39 of R (EC) No 1698/2005, or with Article 28 of R (EU) 1305/2013.</p> <p>This definition also applies to greenhouses under fixed or mobile cover.</p> |
| permanentCrop | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Permanent crop</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Non-rotational crops other than permanent grassland and permanent pasture that occupy the land for five years or more.</p> <p>--Description--</p> |

| | | |
|--------------------|--|---|
| | | It yields repeated harvests, including nurseries and short rotation coppice. [Art. 4 (1)(g) of R (EU)1307/2013 and Art. 4(3)(b) of R (EU) 2021/2125]. |
| permanentGrassland | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Permanent grassland</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Permanent grassland and permanent pasture (together referred to as "permanent grassland") mean land used to grow grasses or other herbaceous forage naturally (self-seeded) or through cultivation (sown) and that has not been included in the crop rotation of the holding for five years or more.</p> <p>--Description--</p> <p>It may include other species, such as shrubs or trees, which can be grazed and, where Member States so decide, other species such as shrubs or trees which produce animal feed, provided that the grasses and other herbaceous forage remain predominant.</p> <p>Member States may also decide to consider the following types of land to be permanent grassland:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - land which is covered by any of the species referred to in this point and which forms part of established local practices, where grasses and other herbaceous forage are traditionally not predominant or absent in grazing areas; - land covered by any of the species referred to in this point, where grasses and other herbaceous forage are not predominant or are absent in grazing areas. [Art. 4(3)(c) of R (EU) 2021/2125]. |
| agroforestry | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Agroforestry</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Area supported for afforestation.</p> <p>--Description--</p> <p>Complex of arable land, permanent crops and permanent grassland supported for agroforestry. [Art. 4(3) of R (EU) 2021/2115.</p> |

In Annex 1 we provide the example of the LPIS implementation in Bulgaria, with extensions of the agricultural area types.

3.3.2.2.2 NonAgriculturalEligibleArea

--Name--

Non-agricultural eligible area type value

-- Definition --

Types of the non-agricultural eligible area

-- Description --

Values of non-agricultural eligible area types as defined in the strategic plans of the MS.

NOTE: This code list is extensible by the MS, according to the types defined in their Strategic Plans.

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|--------------------------|------|--|
| wetland | | <p>--Name-- Wetland</p> <p>-- Definition -- Wet area protected under GAEC 2.</p> <p>-- Description -- Area under a measure for protecting carbon-rich soils.</p> |
| peatland | | <p>--Name-- Peatland</p> <p>-- Definition -- Peatland area protected under GAEC 2.</p> <p>-- Description -- Area under a measure for protecting carbon-rich soils.</p> |
| riverBasinMa nagement | | <p>--Name-- River basin management</p> <p>-- Definition -- Areas included in river basin management plans pursuant to Directive 2000/60/EC eligible for rural development support as defined in the Strategic plans of the MS.</p> <p>-- Description -- Non-agricultural areas eligible for rural development measures supporting the Water Framework Directive. The measures may include buffer strips, investments in irrigation systems in sense of Art. 74 (2) and (4) of R (EU) 2021/2115, improving water quality, use of reclaimed water, etc.</p> |
| natura2000 | | <p>--Name-- Natura 2000</p> |

| | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Natura 2000 areas located on non-agricultural area, eligible for support under Rural development as defined in the Strategic plans of the MS.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Includes forest areas designated pursuant to Directives 92/43/EEC and 2009/147/EC.</p> |
| otherEnvironmentalRestriction | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Other environmental restriction</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Non-agricultural area including forestry, which contribute to the implementation of Article 10 of Directive 92/43/EEC, provided that those areas do not exceed 5 % of the designated Natura 2000 areas covered by territorial scope of each CAP Strategic Plan.</p> |

3.3.2.2.3 EcologicalFocusAreaTypeValue

--Name--

Ecological focus area type value

-- Definition --

Types of EFA as listed in Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.

-- Description --

MS shall decide that one or more values of this code list are considered to be ecological focus area.

NOTE 1: The extension of this code list shall be documented in the eligibility profile of the MS/region in a register / registry service to publish the extended values and their definitions.

NOTE 2: This concept is relevant only for period covered by CAP before 2023.

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|-----------------|------|---|
| afforestedAreas | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Afforested areas</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> |

| | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | | <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Afforested areas referred to in point (b)(ii) of Article 32(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> |
| bufferStrips | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Buffer strips</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Buffer strips, including those covered by permanent grassland, provided that these are distinct from adjacent eligible agricultural area [Art. 46(2) (d) of (EU) R 1307/2013].</p> |
| hectaresOfAr goForestry | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Hectares of agro-forestry</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU)1307/2013</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Hectares of agro-forestry</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - are those that receive, or have received, support under Article 44 of R (EC) 1698/2005 and/or Article 23 of R (EU) 1305/2013 - shall be arable land eligible for the basic payment scheme or the single area payment scheme for which support under Article 44 of R (EC) 1698/2005 or Article 23 of R (EU) 1305/2013 was or is granted. |
| landscapeFea tureDitches | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>LF ditches</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Landscape feature, ditches with a maximum width of 6 meters, including open watercourses for the purpose of irrigation or drainage. Channels with walls of concrete shall not be considered ecological focus area. [Art. 45(3)(g) of (EU) R No 639/2014].</p> <p>NOTE : When this type of LF is protected under SMR 3, MS may specify other width based on national requirements.</p> |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| landscapeFeatureFieldMargin | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>LF field margin</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Landscape feature, field margin with a width between 1 and 20 meters, on which there shall be no agricultural production. [Art. 45(3)(e) of R (EU) 639/2014].</p> |
| landscapeFeatureGroupOfTrees | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>LF Group of trees</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Landscape feature, group of trees, where trees are connected by overlapping crown cover, and field copses of maximum 0.3 ha in both cases. [Art. 45(3)(d) of R (EU) 639/2014].</p> |
| landscapeFeatureIsolatedTree | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>LF isolated tree</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Landscape feature, isolated tree with a crown diameter of minimum 4 meters. [Art. 45(3)(b) of R (EU) 639/2014].</p> |
| landscapeFeatureOtherProtectedByGaecSmr | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Other LF protected by GAEC or SMR.</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Landscape feature, other features not listed in this code list but protected under GAEC7, SMR 2 or SMR 3. [Art. 45(3)(a) of R (EU) 639/2014].</p> |
| landscapeFeaturePonds | | <p>--Name--</p> |

| | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <p>LF ponds</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Landscape feature, ponds of up to a maximum of 0.1 ha. Reservoirs made of concrete or plastic shall not be considered ecological focus area; [Art. 45(3)(f) of R (EU) 639/2014].</p> |
| landscapeFeaturesHedgesWoodedStrips | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>LF hedges and wooded strips</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of (EU) R 1307/2013</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Landscape features: Hedges/wooded strips with a width of up to 10 meters [Art. 45(3)(a) of R (EU) 639/2014]</p> <p>NOTE : When such LF are protected under GAEC 7, SMR 2 and SMR 3, MS may specify other width based on national requirements.</p> |
| landscapeFeatureTraditionalStoneWalls | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>LF traditional walls</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Landscape feature traditional stone walls. [Art. 45(3)(a) of R (EU) 639/2014].</p> |
| landscapeFeatureTreesInLine | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>LF trees in line</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Landscape feature, trees in line trees in line with a crown diameter of minimum 4 meters. The space between the crowns shall not exceed 5 meters. [Art. 45(3)(c) of R (EU) 639/2014].</p> |

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| stripsOfEligibleHectaresAlongForestEdgesWithoutProduction | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Strips of eligible hectares without production</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Strips of eligible hectares along forest edges without production.</p> |
| terraces | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Terraces</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Ecological focus area type as defined by Art. 46(2) of R (EU) 1307/2013</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Terraces shall be terraces that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -are protected under GAEC 7 as referred to in Annex II to Regulation (EU) 640/2014; -other terraces established based on criteria defined by MS. |

3.3.2.2.4 LandscapeFeatureValueType

--Name--

Landscape feature value type

-- Definition --

Types of landscape features.

-- Description --

MS shall decide that one or more values of this code list are considered to be landscape feature. The code list can be extended by narrower values. The broad functional LF types have been defined by (Czucz et al. 2022)

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|-------|------|--|
| woody | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Woody</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Functional LF feature type in sense of Section 1.2.2 of Czucz et al.</p> |

| | | |
|--------|--|---|
| | | <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Isolated trees, tree lines and avenues, hedges, woody strips, trees in group, field coppices and riparian woody vegetation.</p> |
| grassy | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Grassy</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Functional LF feature type in sense of Section 1.2.2 of Czucz at al.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Grassy strips, field margins, embankments, buffer strips, grassed thalweg.</p> |
| stony | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Stony</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Functional LF feature type in sense of Section 1.2.2 of Czucz at al.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Dry stone walls, terrace elements, rock outcrops, natural or artificial stacks of stone.</p> |
| wet | | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Wet</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Functional LF feature type in sense of Section 1.2.2 of Czucz at al.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Inland channels of fresh water, standing small water bodies such as natural or man-made ponds, ditches.</p> |

3.4 Application schema GSA

3.4.1 Narrative description and UML overview

GSA application schema consists of one spatial object:

— AgriculturalParcel


whose definitions is provided in section 2.4.

This spatial object is made of a series of object-specific attributes (of different types) and constraints. The usual spatial representation geometry of this feature type is surface. However, for sake of protecting the economic interest of the farmers or fulfilling national data protection regulations, simplified geometries, such as points of multipoints are also allowed²⁴.

To preserve the semantic harmonisation and interoperability of GSA datasets, the type of those attributes providing information about different classification systems is a code list.

An overview of the GSA UML model is provided in the **Figure 9**, whilst a complete and detailed description of all the application schema elements is contained in the GSA Feature Catalogue (section 3.4.2).

Figure 9. GSA UML model



3.4.2 GSA Feature catalogue

Table 3. Types defined in the GSA feature catalogue

| Type | Package | Stereotypes |
|--------------------|---------|-------------|
| AgriculturalParcel | GSA | featureType |
| CropValue | GSA | codeList |

3.4.2.1 Feature types

3.4.2.1.1 AgriculturalParcel

--Name--

²⁴ Result of the crop classification pilot project.

Agricultural parcel

-- Definition --

Agricultural parcel means a unit, defined by Member States, of agricultural area as determined in accordance with Article 4(3) of R (EU) 2021/2115.

-- Description --

Agricultural parcel within agricultural areas (and/or reference parcels) declared by farmer.

Attributes

| Name | Type | Notes |
|----------------|-----------------|--|
| id | CharacterString | <p>--Name-- Agricultural parcel ID</p> <p>-- Definition -- Unique ID of agricultural parcel.</p> <p>-- Description -- Europe-wide unique alphanumeric identification code of agricultural parcel.</p> |
| geometry | GM_Object | <p>--Name-- Geometry</p> <p>-- Definition -- Geometry of agricultural parcel.</p> <p>-- Description -- Representation of the geographical dimension/position of the agricultural parcel.</p> |
| declaredArea | Area | <p>--Name-- Area declared.</p> <p>-- Definition -- Value for the quantification of area as referred to in Art. 5(3) of R (EU) 640/2014 or Art. 8 (2)(b) of R (EU) 1173/2022.</p> |
| organicFarming | Boolean | <p>--Name-- organicFarming</p> <p>--Definition-- Areas under commitments for fulfilling conditions of R (EU) 2018/848 on organic production.</p> |

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------|---|
| | | <p>--Description--</p> <p>Organic production is an overall system of farm management and food production that combines best environmental and climate action practices, a high level of biodiversity and the preservation of natural resources. Organic farming promotes sustainable food production and preserving natural environment.</p> |
| mainCrop | CropValue | <p>--Name--</p> <p>mainCrop</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Main crop grown within the campaign year (growing season).</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Crop occupying the parcel in most of the time of the growing season. It also includes fallow land.</p> |
| catchCrop | CropValue | <p>--Name--</p> <p>catchCrop</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Fast-growing crop that is grown between successive plantings of a main crop.</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Crop used for N fixing, as green manure, etc.</p> |
| beginLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>-- Name--</p> <p>Begin life span version</p> <p>--Definition--</p> <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describes the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions. [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was inserted or changed in the dataset.</p> |
| endLifespanVersion | DateTime | <p>--Name --</p> <p>End life span version</p> <p>--Definition --</p> |

| | | |
|-----------|------|---|
| | | <p>Set of properties of an object/feature that describe the temporal characteristics of a version or the changes between versions [Adapted from INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model]</p> <p>-- Description --</p> <p>Date and time at which this version of the feature was superseded or retired in the dataset.</p> |
| validFrom | Date | <p>--Name--</p> <p>Valid from</p> <p>-- Definition --</p> <p>Official date when the object / feature has been (will be) in situ or legally established.</p> |
| validTo | Date | <p>--Name --</p> <p>Valid to</p> <p>--Definition --</p> <p>Official dateat which the feature in situ (or legally) ceased (will cease) to be used.</p> |

Constraints

| Name | Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| geometryType | /* Type of geometry shall be GM_Surface or GM_Point or GM_MultiPoint*/ |
| declaredAreaUoM | /* Value of declaredArea shall be given in hectars. */ |
| declaredAreaValue | As regards the area-related direct payment, each Member State shall determine the minimum size of agricultural parcels in respect of which an application may be made.. |
| endLifespanVersion | /* If set, the date endLifespanVersion shall be later than beginLifespanVersion. */ |
| validTo | /* If set, the date validTo shall be equal or later than validFrom. */ |

3.4.2.2 Code lists

3.4.2.2.1 CropValue

Even though there is a big users' interest in a harmonised and unique European crop classification, such agreement has not yet been reached. Indeed, the level of the required details defines the number of types.

However, a hierarchic and harmonised classification system that is easily accessible, would support coherence of the related initiatives.

Annex III of Regulation (EU) 2018/1091²⁵ and the Manual of Integrated Farms Statistics²⁶ (European Commission 2020) provides a detailed multi-level hierarchical classification for arable, permanent crop and permanent grassland categories as follows below:

- cereals,
- dry pulses,
- root crops,
- industrial crops,
- plants harvested green,
- fresh vegetables,
- other arable crops,
- fallow land,
- pastures and meadows,
- rough grazing,
- permanent grassland, not used,
- permanent crops for human consumption,
- other permanent crop,
- nurseries.

An alternative is to use of national code lists defined by MS. In this case the related TG requirements have to be respected.

TG Recommendation 3. Crop code lists

When publishing GSA dataset in INSPIRE, one of the following code lists should be used:

- “Variables of land” provided in Annex III of R (EU) 2018/1091
- National code lists published in a multilingual registry as defined in TG Requirement 5, 6 and 7.

²⁵ <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A32018R1091>

²⁶ In pp 90 - 148

4 Reference systems, units of measure and grids

4.1 Default reference systems, units of measure and grid

The reference systems, units of measure and geographic grid systems included in this sub-section are the defaults to be used for all INSPIRE data sets, unless theme-specific exceptions and/or additional requirements are defined in section 6.2.

4.1.1 Coordinate reference systems

4.1.1.1 Datum

TG Requirement 11 Datum for three-dimensional and two-dimensional coordinate reference systems

For the three-dimensional and two-dimensional coordinate reference systems and the horizontal component of compound coordinate reference systems used for making spatial data sets available, the datum shall be the datum of the European Terrestrial Reference System 1989 (ETRS89) in areas within its geographical scope, or the datum of the International Terrestrial Reference System (ITRS) or other geodetic coordinate reference systems compliant with ITRS in areas that are outside the geographical scope of ETRS89. Compliant with the ITRS means that the system definition is based on the definition of the ITRS and there is a well-documented relationship between both systems, according to EN ISO 19111.

4.1.1.2 Coordinate reference systems

TG Requirement 12 Coordinate reference systems

Spatial data sets shall be made available using at least one of the coordinate reference systems specified below in sections paragraphs 1, 2 and 3, unless one of the conditions specified in paragraph 4 holds.

1. Three-dimensional Coordinate Reference Systems

- Three-dimensional Cartesian coordinates based on a datum specified in 1.2 and using the parameters of the Geodetic Reference System 1980 (GRS80) ellipsoid.
- Three-dimensional geodetic coordinates (latitude, longitude and ellipsoidal height) based on a datum specified in 1.2 and using the parameters of the GRS80 ellipsoid.

2. Two-dimensional Coordinate Reference Systems

- Two-dimensional geodetic coordinates (latitude and longitude) based on a datum specified in 1.2 and using the parameters of the GRS80 ellipsoid.
- Plane coordinates using the ETRS89 Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area coordinate reference system.
- Plane coordinates using the ETRS89 Lambert Conformal Conic coordinate reference system.
- Plane coordinates using the ETRS89 Transverse Mercator coordinate reference system.

3. Compound Coordinate Reference Systems

1. For the horizontal component of the compound coordinate reference system, one of the coordinate reference systems specified in section 1.3.2 shall be used.
2. For the vertical component, one of the following coordinate reference systems shall be used:
 - For the vertical component on land, the European Vertical Reference System (EVRS) shall be used to express gravity-related heights within its geographical scope. Other vertical reference systems related to the Earth gravity field shall be used to express gravity-related heights in areas that are outside the geographical scope of EVRS.
 - For the vertical component in the free atmosphere, barometric pressure, converted to height using ISO 2533:1975 International Standard Atmosphere, or other linear or parametric reference systems shall be used. Where other parametric reference systems are used, these shall be described in an accessible reference using EN ISO 19111-2:2012.
 - For the vertical component in marine areas where there is an appreciable tidal range (tidal waters), the Lowest Astronomical Tide (LAT) shall be used as the reference surface.
 - For the vertical component in marine areas without an appreciable tidal range, in open oceans and effectively in waters that are deeper than 200 meters, the Mean Sea Level (MSL) or a well-defined reference level close to the MSL shall be used as the reference surface.

4. Other Coordinate Reference Systems

Exceptions, where other coordinate reference systems than those listed in 1, 2 or 3 may be used, are:

- a). Other coordinate reference systems may be specified for specific spatial data themes in this Annex.
- b). For regions outside of continental Europe, Member States may define suitable coordinate reference systems.

The geodetic codes and parameters needed to describe these coordinate reference systems and to allow conversion and transformation operations shall be documented and an identifier shall be created, according to EN ISO 19111 and ISO 19127.

4.2 Display

TG Requirement 13 Coordinate Reference Systems used in the View Network Service

For the display of spatial data sets with the view network service as specified in Regulation No 976/2009, at least the coordinate reference systems for two-dimensional geodetic coordinates (latitude, longitude) shall be available.

4.3 Identifiers for coordinate reference systems

TG Requirement 14 Coordinate Reference System Identifiers

1. Coordinate reference system parameters and identifiers shall be managed in one or several common registers for coordinate reference systems.
2. Only identifiers contained in a common register shall be used for referring to the coordinate reference systems listed in this Section.

This TG proposes to use the http URIs provided by the Open Geospatial Consortium as coordinate reference system identifiers (see identifiers for the default CRSs below). These are based on and redirect to the definition in the EPSG Geodetic Parameter Registry (<http://www.epsg-registry.org/>).

The identifiers listed in shall be used for referring to the coordinate reference systems used in a data set.

NOTE CRS identifiers may be used e.g. in:

- data encoding,
- data set and service metadata, and
- requests to INSPIRE network services

Table 4. http URIs for the default coordinate reference systems

| Coordinate reference system | Short name | http URI identifier |
|--|---------------|---|
| 3D Cartesian in ETRS89 | ETRS89-XYZ | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/4936 |
| 3D geodetic in ETRS89 on GRS80 | ETRS89-GRS80h | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/4937 |
| 2D geodetic in ETRS89 on GRS80 | ETRS89-GRS80 | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/4258 |
| 2D LAEA projection in ETRS89 on GRS80 | ETRS89-LAEA | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3035 |
| 2D LCC projection in ETRS89 on GRS80 | ETRS89-LCC | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3034 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 26N (30°W to 24°W) | ETRS89-TM26N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3038 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 27N (24°W to 18°W) | ETRS89-TM27N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3039 |

| | | |
|--|-------------------|---|
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 28N (18°W to 12°W) | ETRS89-TM28N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3040 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 29N (12°W to 6°W) | ETRS89-TM29N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3041 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 30N (6°W to 0°) | ETRS89-TM30N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3042 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 31N (0° to 6°E) | ETRS89-TM31N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3043 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 32N (6°E to 12°E) | ETRS89-TM32N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3044 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 33N (12°E to 18°E) | ETRS89-TM33N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3045 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 34N (18°E to 24°E) | ETRS89-TM34N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3046 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 35N (24°E to 30°E) | ETRS89-TM35N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3047 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 36N (30°E to 36°E) | ETRS89-TM36N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3048 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 37N (36°E to 42°E) | ETRS89-TM37N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3049 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 38N (42°E to 48°E) | ETRS89-TM38N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3050 |
| 2D TM projection in ETRS89 on GRS80, zone 39N (48°E to 54°E) | ETRS89-TM39N | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/3051 |
| Height in EVRS | EVRS | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/5730 |
| 3D compound: 2D geodetic in ETRS89 on GRS80, and EVRS height | ETRS89-GRS80-EVRS | http://www.opengis.net/def/crs/EPSSG/0/7409 |

5 Data quality

Both the LPIS and GSA datasets have strict functional requirements, also expressed with data quality (DQ) elements. In case of LPIS, the quality assessment (LPIS QA) process is detailed in the corresponding Technical guidance (European Commission DG JRC 2016), a.k.a ETS guidance. This technical guidance includes sampling procedures, DQ elements and sub-elements as well as the corresponding data quality measures that should be used to evaluate and document data quality. For the GSA datasets, at the moment, no formal quality assessment process is in place.

In particular, the DQ elements, sub-elements and measures specified in section 5.1 should be used for:

- evaluating and documenting DQ properties and constraints of spatial objects, where such properties or constraints are defined as part of the application schema(s) (see section 3);
- evaluating and documenting DQ metadata elements of spatial data sets (see section 6); and/or
- specifying minimum requirements or recommendations on the DQ (see sections 5.2).

The information about data quality could, in principle, be published as formal metadata elements. However the INSPIRE metadata profile targets metadata for discovery only. The only exception is “Conformance” that can be directly reported as described in Part 1 Data discovery TG (Tóth and Milenov 2020). If the data provider wishes to publish further metadata elements it is recommended to do so in a standalone report. The link to such report can be provided in the Abstract metadata element.

5.1 Data quality elements

In this section, the DQ elements applicable for the LPIS dataset are described. The DQ elements and measures are based on Annex D of ISO 19157 Geographic information – Data quality and ISO 2859-2:1985 Sampling procedures for inspection by attributes — Part 2: Sampling plans indexed by limiting quality (LQ) for isolated lot inspection. To align LPIS QA with the presentation of INSPIRE, which is based in ISO 19157, a mapping is provided in Table 4. In addition to the DQ elements used in LPIS QA, Logical consistency with the Domain consistency sub-element is also included. This latter is evaluated based on the adherence of the LPIS and the GSA datasets to the respective data schemas in Section 3.

Table 5. Data quality elements used in the spatial data themes LPIS and GSA

| Section | Data quality element | Data quality sub-element | Definition (according to ISO 19157) | Evaluation Scope | Reference |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| 5.1.1 | Completeness | Commission | Excess data present in the dataset, as described by the scope. | spatial object type | QE3 of the LPIS ETS guidance |
| 5.1.2 | Logical consistency | Domain consistency | Adherence of values to the value domains. | spatial object | Application schemas in section 3. |
| 5.1.3 | Logical consistency | Topological consistency | Correctness of the explicitly encoded topological characteristics and rules of the dataset, as described by the scope | spatial object | If applicable: application schemas of the local implementations of the MS. |
| 5.1.4 | Positional accuracy | Absolute or external accuracy | closeness of reported coordinate values to | dataset | Art. 68(1) of Regulation 2021/2116 |

| | | | | | |
|-------|---------------------|---|---|---------|-------------------------------|
| | | | values accepted as or being true | | (1:5000 equivalent scale) |
| 5.1.5 | Positional accuracy | Relative or internal accuracy | Closeness of reported coordinate values to values accepted as or being true | dataset | |
| 5.1.6 | Thematic accuracy | Quantitative attribute accuracy - percentage | Closeness of the value of a quantitative attribute to a value accepted or known to be true, | dataset | QE1a of the LPIS ETS Guidance |
| 5.1.7 | Thematic accuracy | Quantitative attribute accuracy – conformance | Closeness of the value of a quantitative attribute to a value accepted or known to be true, | dataset | QE1a of the LPIS ETS Guidance |

Source: INSPIRE Data Specifications.

TG Recommendation 4. Quantitative evaluation of data quality elements

Where it is impossible to express the evaluation of a data quality element in a quantitative way, the evaluation of the element should be expressed with a textual statement as a data quality descriptive result.

The following subsections include the documentation of the data quality elements according to the standard documentation of ISO 19157. The measure identifiers included in the tables are the references from this standard.

5.1.1 Completeness – Commission

Data quality element 3 (QE3) aggregated at the level of the LPIS dataset informs about the reference parcels with critical defects. These reference parcels either holds a fundamental effect regarding the eligibility, in particular:

- in delineation of eligible area, or
- including such instances of a feature type that don't have any eligibility ground (parcels that don't include agricultural area, or non-agricultural eligible area)

These extra items in the LPIS dataset can be documented with the Commission data quality element according to ISO 19157.

TG Recommendation 5. Commission

Commission should be evaluated and documented using **excess item** as specified in the table below.

| Name | Excess item |
|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Alternative name | - |
| Data quality element | completeness |
| Data quality sub-element | commission |

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Data quality basic measure | error indicator |
| Definition | indication that an item is incorrectly present in the data |
| Description | - |
| Evaluation scope | spatial objects: ReferenceParcel |
| Reporting scope | data set: LpisDataSet, |
| Parameter | - |
| Data quality value type | Boolean (true indicates that the item is in excess) |
| Data quality value structure | - |
| Source reference | ISO 19157 Geographic information – Data quality |
| Example | Presence of excess items in a dataset: - Two reference parcels with critical defects have been found in the inspected sample. |
| Measure identifier | 1 |

5.1.2 Logical consistency – Domain consistency

TG Recommendation 6 . Domain consistency

Domain consistency should be evaluated and documented using *value domain non-compliance* as specified in the table below.

| Name | Value domain non-conformance |
|----------------------------|---|
| Alternative name | - |
| Data quality element | logical consistency |
| Data quality sub-element | domain consistency |
| Data quality basic measure | error indicator |
| Definition | indication of if an item is not in conformance with its value domain |
| Description | - |
| Evaluation scope | spatial objects: AgriculturalArea, NonAgriculturalReferenceArea, ReferenceParcel, AgriculturalParcel, LandscapeFeature, EcologicalFocusArea |
| Reporting scope | data set: LpisDataSet, GsaDataSet |
| Parameter | - |

| | |
|------------------------------|--|
| Data quality value type | Boolean (true indicates that an item is not in conformance with its value domain) |
| Data quality value structure | - |
| Source reference | ISO 19157 Geographic information – Data quality |
| Example | Presence of extra items in a non-extensible code list violating domain consistency: - Code list contains values out of attribute domain (e.g. non existing class codes) |
| Measure identifier | 14 |
| Comment | The evaluation is done against the LPIS/GSA data models as presented in Section 3 of this TG. |

5.1.3 Logical Consistency – Topological consistency

This DQ element can be evaluated and reported when topology is directly encoded in the dataset. Such encoding is not specified in the data model presented in section 3. Nevertheless, the local implementations in the MS may include such encoding.

TG Recommendation 7 . Topological consistency

Topological consistency should be evaluated and documented using **number of invalid self-intersect errors, number of overlaps and number of slivers** as specified in the table below.

| Name | Number of invalid self-intersect errors |
|------------------------------|--|
| Alternative name | loops |
| Data quality element | logical consistency |
| Data quality sub-element | topological consistency |
| Data quality basic measure | error count |
| Definition | count of all items in the data that illegally intersect with themselves |
| Description | - |
| Evaluation scope | spatial objects: AgriculturalArea, NonAgriculturalEligibleArea, ReferenceParcel, EcologicalFocusArea, LandscapeFeature, AgriculturalParcel |
| Reporting scope | data set: LpisDataSet, GsaDataSet |
| Parameter | - |
| Data quality value type | Integer |
| Data quality value structure | - |

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Source reference | ISO 19157 Geographic information – Data quality |
| Example | Number of loops („figure eight” forming AgriculturalArea or AgriculturalParcel polygons) present |
| Measure identifier | 26 |
| Comment | This measure is applicable to EcologicalFocusArea and LandscapeFeature only if they are represented with GM_surface. |

5.1.4 Data Quality – Positional accuracy – Absolute or external accuracy

TG Recommendation 8 . Absolute or external accuracy

Absolute or external accuracy should be evaluated and documented using **root mean square error of planimetry** as specified in the table below.

| Name | Root mean square error of planimetry |
|------------------------------|---|
| Alternative name | RMSEP |
| Data quality element | positional accuracy |
| Data quality sub-element | absolute or external accuracy |
| Data quality basic measure | - |
| Definition | radius of a circle around the given point, in which the true value lies with probability P. |
| Description | - |
| Evaluation scope | spatial objects: AgriculturalArea, ReferenceParcel, EcologicalFocusArea, NonAgriculturalEligibleArea, LandscapeFeature AgriculturalParcel |
| Reporting scope | data set: LpisDataSet, GsaDataSet |
| Parameter | - |
| Data quality value type | Real |
| Data quality value structure | - |
| Source reference | ISO/DIS 19157 Geographic information – Data quality |
| Example | Absolute or external positional accuracy of LPIS/GSA data can be determined based on control points directly measured on the terrain by and independent method (e.g. GPS measurement, surveying). |
| Measure identifier | 47 |
| Comment | This measure is applicable to EcologicalFocusArea and LandscapeFeature only if they are represented with GM_surface. |

5.1.5 Data Quality – Positional accuracy – Relative or internal accuracy

TG Recommendation 9 . Relative or internal accuracy

Relative or internal accuracy should be evaluated and documented using **root mean square error of planimetry** as specified in the table below.

| Name | Root mean square error of planimetry |
|------------------------------|--|
| Alternative name | RMSEP |
| Data quality element | positional accuracy |
| Data quality sub-element | relative or internal accuracy |
| Data quality basic measure | - |
| Definition | radius of a circle around the given point, in which the true value lies with probability P |
| Description | - |
| Evaluation scope | spatial objects: AgriculturalArea, NonAgriculturalEligibleArea, ReferenceParcel, EcologicalFocusArea, LandscapeFeature AgriculturalParcel |
| Reporting scope | data set: LPISDataSet, GSADataset |
| Parameter | - |
| Data quality value type | Real |
| Data quality value structure | - |
| Source reference | ISO 19157 Geographic information – Data quality |
| Example | Relative or internal accuracy of LPIS/GSA data is usually determined as the accuracy of delineation of LPIS/GSA boundaries relative to the underlying Earth Observation imagery which serves as basis for derivation of the LPIS/GSA data. |
| Measure identifier | 47 |
| Comment | This measure is applicable to EcologicalFocusArea and LandscapeFeature only when they are represented with GM_surface. |

5.1.6 Data Quality – Thematic accuracy – Quantitative attribute accuracy - percentage

TG Recommendation 10 . Quantitative attribute accuracy

Thematic accuracy should be evaluated and documented using **Quantitative attribute accuracy - percentage** as specified in the table below.

| Name | Quantitative attribute accuracy - percentage |
|------------------------------|---|
| Alternative name | QE1a - percentage |
| Data quality element | thematic accuracy |
| Data quality sub-element | Quantitative attribute accuracy - percentage |
| Data quality basic measure | Percentage of correctly quantified area |
| Definition | Percentage of the eligible hectares as observed, with respect to all eligible hectares recorded |
| Description | - |
| Evaluation scope | spatial objects: ReferenceParcel, |
| Reporting scope | data set: LpisDataSet, |
| Parameter | - |
| Data quality value type | Real |
| Data quality value structure | - |
| Source reference | LPIS Technical Guidance for ETS |
| Example | The rate of missing agricultural area is 0.24 |
| Measure identifier | 10201 |

5.1.7 Data Quality – Thematic accuracy – Quantitative attribute accuracy - conformance

TG Recommendation 11 . Non-quantitative attribute accuracy

Non-quantitative attribute accuracy should be evaluated and documented using **Quantitative attribute accuracy - conformance** as specified in the table below.

| Name | Quantitative attribute accuracy - conformance |
|----------------------------|---|
| Alternative name | QE1a - conformance |
| Data quality element | thematic accuracy |
| Data quality sub-element | Quantitative attribute accuracy - conformance |
| Data quality basic measure | pass |
| Definition | Closeness of the value of a quantitative attribute to a value accepted or known to be true. |
| Description | - |

| | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Evaluation scope | spatial objects: ReferenceParcel |
| Reporting scope | data set: LpisDataSet |
| Parameter | - |
| Data quality value type | Boolean |
| Data quality value structure | - |
| Source reference | ISO/DIS 19157 Geographic information – Data quality |
| Example | Pass: true |
| Measure identifier | 10201 |

5.2 Minimum data quality requirements

Minimum quality requirements are defined for the following data quality elements:

- Positional accuracy
- Completeness – Commission
- Thematic accuracy – Quantitative and qualitative attribute accuracy (percentage and conformance)

A general requirement against the LPIS and GSA datasets stipulated by Art 70(1) of R 1306/2013²⁷ Art. 68(1) or R (EU) 2021/2116 is that they have to fulfil the requirements of positional accuracy of mapping in scale 1:5000.

As for Completeness and Thematic accuracy please refer to the ETS guidance (European Commission JRC 2016).

²⁷ Until 2016 positional accuracy corresponding to scale 1:10 000 was sufficient.

6 Dataset level metadata

Dataset level metadata are currently dealt with in JRC Technical Report “Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing – Part 1 – Data discovery” (Tóth and Milenov 2020), which may be updated, based on the outcomes of the review process of this TG which will lead to the endorsement of its final version.

7 Delivery

7.1 Updates

According to INSPIRE the changes within a dataset have to be published within 6 month. In case of bulk changes (corrections) this time starts from the date when the last change has been approved or validated in the dataset.

TG Requirement 15 Updates

1. Member States shall make available updates of data on a regular basis.
2. All updates shall be made available at the latest 6 months after the change was applied in the source data set.

7.2 Delivery medium

According to Article 11(1) of the INSPIRE Directive, Member States shall establish and operate a network of services for INSPIRE spatial data sets and services. The relevant network service types for making spatial data available are:

- view services making it possible, as a minimum, to display, navigate, zoom in/out, pan, or overlay viewable spatial data sets and to display legend information and any relevant content of metadata;
- download services, enabling copies of spatial data sets, or parts of such sets, to be downloaded and, where practicable, accessed directly;
- transformation services, enabling spatial data sets to be transformed with a view to achieving interoperability.

For the relevant requirements and recommendations for network services, see the relevant INSPIRE Implementing Rules and Technical Guidelines available at <https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/network-services/41>.

EXAMPLE 1 Through the Get Spatial Objects function, a download service can either download a pre-defined data set or pre-defined part of a data set (non-direct access download service), or give direct access to the spatial objects contained in the data set, and download selections of spatial objects based upon a query (direct access download service). To execute such a request, some of the following information might be required:

- the list of spatial object types and/or predefined data sets that are offered by the download service (to be provided through the Get Download Service Metadata operation),
- and the query capabilities section advertising the types of predicates that may be used to form a query expression (to be provided through the Get Download Service Metadata operation, where applicable),
- a description of spatial object types offered by a download service instance (to be provided through the Describe Spatial Object Types operation).

EXAMPLE 2 Through the Transform function, a transformation service carries out data content transformations from native data forms to the INSPIRE-compliant form and vice versa. If this operation is directly called by an application to transform source data (e.g. obtained through a download service) that is not yet conformant with this data specification, the following parameters are required:

Input data (mandatory). The data set to be transformed.

- Source model (mandatory, if cannot be determined from the input data). The model in which the input data is provided.
- Target model (mandatory). The model in which the results are expected.
- Model mapping (mandatory, unless a default exists). Detailed description of how the transformation is to be carried out.

7.3 Encodings

TG Requirement 16 Encoding

1. Every encoding rule used to encode spatial data shall conform to EN ISO 19118. In particular, it shall specify schema conversion rules for all spatial object types and all attributes and association roles and the output data structure used.
2. Every encoding rule used to encode spatial data shall be made available.

ISO 19118:2011 specifies the requirements for defining encoding rules used for interchange of geographic data within the set of International Standards known as the “ISO 19100 series”. An encoding rule allows geographic information defined by application schemas and standardised schemas to be coded into a system-independent data structure suitable for transport and storage. The encoding rule specifies the types of data being coded and the syntax, structure and coding schemes used in the resulting data structure. Specifically, ISO 19118:2011 includes:

- requirements for creating encoding rules based on UML schemas,
- requirements for creating encoding services, and
- requirements for XML-based encoding rules for neutral interchange of data.

This TG proposes to make LPIS and GSA datasets available at least in the default encoding(s) specified in section 7.3.1. In this section, a number of TG requirements are listed that need to be met in order to be conformant with the default encoding(s).

The proposed default encoding(s) are conformant with ISO 19118.

7.3.1 Default Encoding(s)

7.3.1.1 Specific requirements for GML encoding

This data specification proposes the use of GML as the default encoding, as recommended in sections 7.2 and 7.3 of DS-D2.7 - Guidelines for the encoding of spatial data (INSPIRE Data Specifications Drafting Team 2010). GML is an XML encoding in compliance with ISO 19118. For details, see [ISO 19136], and in particular Annex E (UML-to-GML application schema encoding rules).

The following TG requirements need to be met in order to be conformant with GML encodings.

TG Requirement 17 Encoding

Data instance (XML) documents shall validate without error against the provided XML schema.

Not all constraints defined in the application schemas can be mapped to XML. Therefore, the following requirement is necessary.

NOTE: The obligation to use only the allowed code list values specified for attributes and most of the constraints defined in the application schemas cannot be mapped to the XML schema. They can therefore not be enforced through schema validation. It may be possible to express some of these constraints using other schema or rule languages (e.g. Schematron), in order to enable automatic validation.

7.3.1.2 Default encoding(s) for LPIS and GSA application schemas

Name: LPIS GML Application Schema and GSA GML Application Schema

Version: version 1.0; Specification: This TG; Character set: UTF-8

For testing purposes the xml schemas document is available²⁸ at <https://public.epsilon-italia.it/IACS/xsd/LPIS.xsd> and at <https://public.epsilon-italia.it/IACS/xsd/GSA.xsd>.

²⁸ The finalised schemas will be uploaded in a registry operated in the EU domain.

7.3.2 Alternative Encoding

TG Recommendation 12. Use of GeoPackage encoding

Data providers should create their data using the OGC GeoPackage encoding standard, particularly suitable for managing and exchanging large datasets and optimised for data usability in GIS environments. In that case, the [INSPIRE Good Practice for the GeoPackage encoding of INSPIRE datasets](#) should be referenced.

Note. Other alternative encodings (apart from GeoPackage), duly endorsed by the INSPIRE Maintenance and Implementation Group (MIG), might be defined as INSPIRE Good Practices in the INSPIRE Good Practice library²⁹.

²⁹ <https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/portfolio/good-practice-library>

8 Data Capture

The data capture should follow the general rules of mapping in scale 1:5000. In addition, for specific procedures of digitising elements of the LPIS dataset, refer to the current version of the Technical Guidance of LPIS Update (European Commission, JRC 2015)

9 Portrayal

This clause defines the rules for layers and styles to be used for portrayal of the spatial object types defined for LPIS and GSA data model.

TG Requirement 18 Portrayal

For the portrayal of spatial data sets using a view network service as specified in Commission Regulation No 976/2009, the following should be available:

- (a) the layers specified in Section 9.1;
- (b) for each layer at least a default portrayal style, with as a minimum an associated title and a unique identifier.

For each layer, Section 9.1 defines the following:

- (a) a human readable title of the layer to be used for display in user interface;
- (b) the spatial object type(s), or sub-set thereof, that constitute(s) the content of the layer.

9.1 Layers to be provided by INSPIRE view services

Table 6. LPIS layers to be provided by INSPIRE view services

| Layer Name | Layer Title | Spatial object type(s) | Keywords |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| LPIS.AgriculturalArea | LPIS Agricultural Area | Agricultural Area | LPIS, Agricultural Area |
| LPIS NonAgriculturalEligibleArea | LPIS Non Agricultural Eligible Area | Non Agricultural Eligible Area | LPIS, Non Agricultural Eligible Area |
| LPIS ReferenceParcel | LPIS Reference Parcel | Reference Parcel | LPIS, Reference Parcel |
| LPIS.LandscapeFeature | LPIS Landscape Feature | Landscape Feature | LPIS, Landscape Feature |
| LPIS.EcologicalFocusArea | LPIS Ecological Focus Area | Ecological Focus Area | LPIS, Ecological Focus Area |

Table 7. GSA layer to be provided by INSPIRE view services

| Layer Name | Layer Title | Spatial object type(s) | Keywords |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| GSA.AgriculturalParcel | GSA Agricultural Parcel | Agricultural Parcel | GSA, Agricultural Parcel |

TG Recommendation 13. Keywords in Layers Metadata parameters of the INSPIRE View service

It is recommended to use the keywords specified in Section 9.1 in the Layers Metadata parameters of the INSPIRE View service (see Annex III, Part A, section 2.2.4 in Commission R (EC) No 976/2009).

The layers specified in Table 5 can be further classified using a code list-valued attribute.

TG Requirement 19 Portrayal

For spatial object types whose objects can be further classified using a code list-valued attribute, several layers may be defined. Each of these layers shall include the spatial objects corresponding to one specific code list value. In the definition of such sets of layers:





- (a) the placeholder <CodeListValue> shall represent the values of the relevant code list, with the first letter in upper case;
- (b) the placeholder <human-readable name> shall represent the human-readable name of the code list values;
- (c) the spatial object type shall include the relevant attribute and code list, in parentheses;
- (d) one example of a layer shall be given.

Section 9.2 specifies one style for each of layer specified in this section. It is proposed that INSPIRE view services support this style as the default style required by TG Requirement 18.






TG Requirement 20 Styles

For each layer specified in this section, the styles defined in section 9.2 shall be available.

9.2 Styles required to be supported by INSPIRE view services**9.2.1 Styles for the layer LPIS.AgriculturalArea**

| Style Name | LPIS.AgriculturalArea.Default | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|---|------------|-------|------|
| Default Style | yes | | | | |
| Style Title | Agricultural Area Default Style | | | | |
| Style Abstract | AgriculturalArea objects filled with a colour depending on the value of the attribute from agriculturalAreaType nomenclature and their boundaries as black lines of 2 pixels | | | | |
| | <i>AgriculturalAreaTypeValue</i> | <i>colour</i> | <i>red</i> | Green | blue |
| | arableLand |  | 180 | 230 | 110 |
| | permanentCrop |  | 180 | 120 | 240 |
| | permanentGrassland |  | 240 | 120 | 100 |
| | afforestedArea |  | 152 | 72 | 6 |
| Symbology | The SLD specifying the symbology is distributed in a file separately from the data specification document. | | | | |
| Minimum & maximum scales | No scale limit. | | | | |





9.2.2 Styles for the layer LPIS.NonAgriculturalEligibleArea

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|------------|--------------|
| Style Name | LPIS.NonAgriculturalEligibleArea.Default | | | |
| Default Style | yes | | | |
| Style Title | Non Agricultural Eligible Area Default Style | | | |
| Style Abstract | NonAgriculturalEligibleArea objects filled with a colour depending on the value of the attribute from nonAgriculturalEligibleAreaType nomenclature and their boundaries as black lines of 2 pixels | | | |
| | <i>NonAgriculturalEligibleAreaTypeValue</i> | <i>colour</i> | <i>red</i> | <i>Green</i> |
| | wetland |  | 93 | 249 |
| | peatland |  | 102 | 0 |
| | riverBasinManagement |  | 149 | 215 |
| | Natura2000 |  | 0 | 0 |
| | otherEnvironmentalRestriction |  | 79 | 40 |
| Symbology | The SLD specifying the symbology is distributed in a file separately from the data specification document. | | | |
| Minimum & maximum scales | No scale limit. | | | |







9.2.3 Styles for the Layer LPIS.ReferenceParcel

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Style Name | LPIS.ReferenceParcel.Default |
| Default Style | yes |
| Style Title | ReferenceParcel Default Style |
| Style Abstract | ReferenceParcel objects filled with yellow colour (#FFFFCC) and their boundaries as black lines of 2 pixels. |
| Minimum & maximum scales | No scale limit. |

9.2.4 Styles for the layer LPIS.LandscapeFeature

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|------------|-------|------|
| Style Name | LPIS.LandscapeFeature.Default | | | | |
| Default Style | yes | | | | |
| Style Title | Landscape Feature Default Style | | | | |
| Style Abstract | LandscapeFeature objects filled with a colour depending on the value of the attribute from landscapeFeatureType nomenclature and their boundaries as black lines of 2 pixels. | | | | |
| | <i>LandscapeFeatureTypeValue</i> | <i>colour</i> | <i>red</i> | Green | blue |
| | grassy |  | 0 | 176 | 80 |
| | stony |  | 166 | 166 | 166 |
| | wet |  | 49 | 132 | 155 |
| | woody |  | 153 | 51 | 0 |
| Symbology | The SLD specifying the symbology is distributed in a file separately from the data specification document. | | | | |
| Minimum & maximum scales | No scale limit | | | | |

9.2.5 Styles for the layer LPIS.EcologicalFocusArea

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---|---|------------|-------|------|
| Style Name | LPIS.EcologicalFocusArea.Default | | | | |
| Default Style | yes | | | | |
| Style Title | Ecological Focus Area Default Style | | | | |
| Style Abstract | EcologicalFocusArea objects filled with a colour depending on the value of the attribute from ecologicalFocusAreaType nomenclature and their boundaries as black lines of 2 pixels. | | | | |
| | <i>LandscapeFeatureTypeValue</i> | <i>colour</i> | <i>red</i> | Green | blue |
| | afforestedAreas |  | 152 | 72 | 6 |
| | bufferStrips |  | 0 | 204 | 0 |
| | hectaresOfArgoForestry |  | 153 | 102 | 0 |
| | landscapeFeatureDitches |  | 141 | 179 | 226 |
| | landscapeFeatureFieldMargin |  | 194 | 214 | 155 |
| | landscapeFeatureGroupOfTrees |  | 102 | 51 | 0 |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|-----|-----|-----|
| | landscapeFeatureIsolatedTree | | 148 | 138 | 84 |
| | landscapeFeatureOtherProtectedByGaecSmr | | 255 | 0 | 102 |
| | landscapeFeaturePonds | | 23 | 54 | 93 |
| | landscapeFeaturesHedgesWoodedStrips | | 196 | 188 | 150 |
| | landscapeFeatureTraditionalStoneWalls | | 128 | 128 | 128 |
| | landscapeFeatureTreesInLine | | 152 | 72 | 6 |
| | stripsOfEligibleHectaresAlongForestEdgesWithoutProduction | | 220 | 60 | 240 |
| | terraces | | 191 | 191 | 191 |
| Symbology | The SLD specifying the symbology is distributed in a file separately from the data specification document. | | | | |
| Minimum & maximum scales | No scale limit. | | | | |

10 Conclusions

A proposal to solve the lack of harmonisation of LPIS and GSA datasets across Europe, which is currently a big obstacle to IACS data sharing and interoperability, has been provided in this technical guidance TG.

A common data model for LPIS datasets and another for GSA datasets, together with a full data specification in INSPIRE compliant form have been provided, along with the related gml application schemas. These artefacts are supposed to solve interoperability within INSPIRE and thus, reusability of the data.

Besides preserving the IACS semantics, the proposed solution has the additional advantage of supporting the relevance of IACS spatial datasets to INSPIRE. The adoption of the LPIS data model for the harmonisation of LPIS datasets facilitates the creation of three INSPIRE conformant LU/LC datasets from each harmonised LPIS dataset.

A preliminary test of this TG, described in Annex 1, has been executed, producing a harmonised LPIS dataset from the original 'as-is' LPIS dataset.

A conceptual mapping from the LPIS data model to two INSPIRE data themes (LU/LC) and from the GSA data model to the INSPIRE theme LU have been developed³⁰ and provided in Annexes 2, 3 and 4.

Regarding the roadmap to endorse the LPIS and GSA data specifications, the TG contained in this report will be shared with DG AGRI, the Paying Agencies (PA) and the custodians of the LPIS and GSA data. The specifications in this TG will be tested by means of voluntary pilots. The feedback collected will lead to a revision of the TG and the publication of the final version. In parallel, the endorsement procedure will be discussed and agreed with JRC, DG AGRI and the INSPIRE MIG (Maintenance and Implementation Group).

³⁰ Mapping to LC and LU INSPIRE data themes is not mandatory.

References

- Ballin, Marco; Barcaroli, Giulio; Masselli, Mauro and Scarnó, Marco. 2018. *Redesign Sample for Land Use/Cover Area Frame Survey (LUCAS) 2018*. Luxembourg: Eurostat. <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/en/web/products-statistical-working-papers/-/ks-tc-18-006> (December 15, 2022).
- Czucz, Balint et al. 2022. *Classification and Quantification of Landscape Features in Agricultural Land across the EU*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- European Commission. 2014. "Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 639/2014 of 11 March 2014 Supplementing Regulation (EU) No 1307/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council Establishing Rules for Direct Payments to Farmers under Support Schemes within the Framework of The." *Official Journal of the European Union*: 1–47. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg_del/2014/639/oj.
- European Commission, DG AGRI. 2016. "Guidance Document on the Land Parcel Identification System (LPIS) under Articles 5, 9 and 10 of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 640/2014 and on the Establishment of the EFA-Layer Referred to in Article 70(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013." https://marswiki.jrc.ec.europa.eu/wikicap/images/c/ce/DSCG-2014-33_FINAL_-_Rev_3_FINAL_LPIS_guideline_2016_clean.pdf (December 16, 2022).
- European Commission, JRC. 2016. *LPIS Quality Assurance Framework. Annex I. Executable Test Suite (ETS) LPIS Data Quality Measures, Version 6.1*. Ispra. https://marswiki.jrc.ec.europa.eu/wikicap/images/1/16/6_1_Annex_I_QC_measures_20160701.pdf.
- European Commission, DG RTD. 2018. *Turning FAIR into Reality: Final Report and Action Plan from the European Commission Expert Group on FAIR Data*. Luxembourg: Publication Office of the European Union. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2777/1524> (December 22, 2022).
- European Commission, Eurostat. 2020. *Integrated Farm Statistics Manual — 2020 Edition*. Luxembourg: Eurostat. <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/products-manuals-and-guidelines/-/ks-gq-20-009> (December 15, 2022).
- European Commission, JRC. 2015. "Technical Guidance on LPIS Update." https://marswiki.jrc.ec.europa.eu/wikicap/index.php/TG_update (December 16, 2022).
- European Parliament and the Council. 2021. "Regulation (EU) 2021/2116 of 2 December 2021 on the Financing, Management and Monitoring of the Common Agricultural Policy and Repealing Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013." *Official Journal of the European Union*: 181. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/reg/2021/2116>.
- European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. 2007. "Directive 2007/2/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 March 2007 Establishing an Infrastructure for Spatial Information in the European Community (INSPIRE)." *Off. J. Eur. Union* L 108: 1–14. <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32007L0002> (September 8, 2015).
- European Parliament and the Council of the European Union.. 2013. *Off. J. Eur. Union Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 December 2013 on the Financing, Management and Monitoring of the Common Agricultural Policy and Repealing Council Regulations (EEC) No 352/78, (EC) No 165/94, (EC) No 2799/98*. <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/en/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32013R1306> (September 8, 2015).
- European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. 2021. Regulation (EU) 2021/2115 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 establishing rules on support for strategic plans to be drawn up by Member States under the common agricultural policy (CAP Strategic Plans) and financed by the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) and repealing Regulations (EU) No 1305/2013 and (EU) No 1307/2013
- European Parliament and the Council of the European Union. 2021. Regulation (EU) 2021/2116 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 2 December 2021 on the financing, management and monitoring of the common agricultural policy and repealing Regulation (EU) No 1306/2013
- INSPIRE Data Specification Drafting Team. 2014. *INSPIRE Generic Conceptual Model*. Ispra. <https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/documents/inspire-generic-conceptual-model> (February 21, 2023).
- INSPIRE Data Specifications Drafting Team. 2008. *Methodology for the Development of Data Specifications*. Ispra, Italy. http://inspire.jrc.ec.europa.eu/reports/ImplementingRules/DataSpecifications/D2.6_v3.0.pdf.

- INSPIRE Data Specifications Drafting Team. 2010. *INSPIRE Guidelines for the Encoding of Spatial Data*. Ispra, Italy. http://inspire.ec.europa.eu/documents/Data_Specifications/D2.7_v3.3.pdf.
- INSPIRE Thematic Working Group on Land Cover. 2013. "INSPIRE Data Specification on Land Cover – Technical Guidelines v.3."
- INSPIRE Thematic Working Group on Land Use. 2013. "INSPIRE Data Specification on Land Use – Technical Guidelines, v.3."
- Open GIS Consortium (OpenGIS Implementation Standard for Geographic Information Simple feature access - Part 1: Common architecture. Version 1.2.1. 28 2011).
http://portal.opengeospatial.org/files/?artifact_id=25355
- Tóth, Katalin and Kučas, Andrius. 2016. "Spatial Information in European Agricultural Data Management. Requirements and Interoperability Supported by a Domain Model." *Land Use Policy* 57: 64–79.
- Tóth, Katalin and Milenov, Pavel. 2020. *Technical Guidelines on IACS Spatial Data Sharing. Part 1 - Data Discovery*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.

List of abbreviations and definitions

| | |
|---------|--|
| a.k.a | Also known as |
| AECM | Agri-environment-climate Measures. |
| AM | Area management / restriction / regulation zones & reporting units |
| Art. | Article |
| CAP | Common Agricultural Policy |
| CP | Cadastral Parcels |
| CRS | Coordinate Reference System |
| DG AGRI | Directorate General Agriculture |
| DQ | Data quality |
| ID | Identifier |
| EFA | Ecological Focus Area |
| EN | European norm |
| EPSG | European Petroleum Survey Group |
| ETS | Executive Test Suite |
| GAEC | Good agricultural and environmental conditions |
| GIS | Geographic Information System |
| GML | Geography Markup Language |
| GSA | Geo Spatial Application |
| GSAA | Geo Spatial Aid Application |
| IACS | Integrated Administration and Control Systems |
| INSPIRE | Infrastructure for Spatial Information in Europe |
| ISO | International Standards Organisation |
| JRC | Joint Research Centre |
| LC | Land Cover |
| LF | Landscape feature |
| LUCAS | Land Use / Cover Area Frame Survey |
| LQ | Limiting Quality |
| LPIS | Land Parcel Identification System |
| LU | Land Use |
| LUCAS | Land Use/Cover Area frame Survey |
| MIG | (INSPIRE) Maintenance and Implementation Group |
| MS | Member States |
| OGC | Open Geospatial Consortium |
| PA | Paying Agencies |
| QA | Quality assessment |
| QE | Quality element |
| R | Regulation |
| RDF | Resource Description Framework |

| | |
|------|--------------------------------------|
| SKOS | Simple Knowledge Organisation System |
| SMR | Statutory management requirements |
| TC | Technical Committee |
| TG | Technical Guidelines |
| UML | Unified Modeling Language |
| XML | Extensible Markup Language |
| XSD | XML Schema Definition |

List of figures

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1. Spatial object (feature) types of IACS as abstracted from the real world | 10 |
| Figure 2. LPIS UML model - overview | 16 |
| Figure 3. LPIS UML model - AgriculturalArea, LanscapeFeature and EcologicalFocusArea class diagrams and relationships | 17 |
| Figure 4. LPIS UML model - AgriculturalArea, NonAgriculturalEligibleArea and ReferenceParcel class diagrams and relationships | 17 |
| Figure 5. LPIS UML model - AgriculturalArea associated code list..... | 18 |
| Figure 6. LPIS UML model - NonAgriculturalEligibleArea associated code list..... | 18 |
| Figure 7. LPIS UML model - LandscapeFeature associated code list..... | 18 |
| Figure 8. LPIS UML model - EcologicalFocusArea associated code list | 18 |
| Figure 9. GSA UML model..... | 44 |
| Table 5. Data quality elements used in the spatial data themes LPIS and GSA..... | 52 |
| Table 6. LPIS layers to be provided by INSPIRE view services | 65 |
| Table 7. GSA layer to be provided by INSPIRE view services | 65 |
| Table 8. Extended code list for the LPIS implementation in Bulgaria..... | 77 |
| Figure 10. Mapping example of Lithuanian LF types mapped to the woody, grassy and wet functional classes | 79 |
| Figure 11. Thematisation of AgriculturalArea features according to agriculturalAreaType values | 80 |
| Figure 12. Attributes of a selected AgriculturalArea feature..... | 81 |
| Figure 13. Thematisation of LanscapeFeature features according to landscapeFeatureType values | 81 |
| Figure 14. Attributes of a selected LandscapeFeature feature | 82 |
| Figure 15. Conceptual mapping from LPIS LandscapeFeature featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType..... | 83 |
| Figure 16. Conceptual mapping from LPIS EcologicalFocusArea featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType..... | 84 |
| Figure 17. Relationship between INSPIRE LandCoverDataset featureType and LandCoverUnit featureType ... | 85 |
| Figure 18. Conceptual mapping from GSA AgriculturalParcel featureType to INSPIRE ExistingLandUseObject featureType..... | 86 |
| Table 12. Conceptual mapping table from GSA AgriculturalParcel featureType to INSPIRE ExistingLandUseObject featureType | 86 |
| Figure 19. Relationship between INSPIRE ExistingLandUse featureType and ExistingLandUseObject featureType..... | 87 |

List of tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Comparison of terminology applied to the feature types of the “old” and “new” CAP | 7 |
| Table 2. Types defined in the LPIS feature catalogue..... | 19 |
| Table 3. Types defined in the GSA feature catalogue | 44 |
| Table 4. http URIs for the default coordinate reference systems..... | 50 |
| Table 9. Lithuanian LF classes and coded values mapped to the functional LF types..... | 78 |
| Table 10. Conceptual mapping table from LPIS LandscapeFeature featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType..... | 83 |
| Table 11 Conceptual mapping table from LPIS EcologicalFocusArea featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType..... | 84 |

Annex 1. Extension example of the agricultural area code list in Bulgaria

Art. 4(1)(e) of R 1307/2013 and Art. 4(3) of R2021/2115 define arable land, permanent grassland and permanent crop as applicable categories of agricultural area. This is the minimum semantic content and granularity that has to be included in the LPIS dataset. According to the principle of subsidiarity, MS have the right to define such implementation options that fit the best to their local practices. Such implementation option is extending the AgriculturalAreaType code list with any value, provided that none of the values overrides the Further original definitions of these types.

Bulgaria has developed the LPIS system based on measurement of the visible physical boundaries on a digital orthophoto map of aerial/satellite photography and as a type of reference parcel – a physical block. The physical block is continuous land occupied by one or more crop groups within single agricultural land cover, declared by one or more farmers, limited most often by natural boundaries.

The most important characteristic of the Bulgarian LPIS design is that LPIS has a full coverage of the country's territory with PhB – agricultural and non-agricultural lands which give reliable information about the land cover and land use. The types presented in **Table 7** are used:

Table 8. Extended code list for the LPIS implementation in Bulgaria

| Type | Description | Extension method | Parent type (only for narrower) |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|
| areasaassociateToSettlements | Areas associated to settlements | any | n.a.. |
| courtyards | Courtyards within the settlements with mixed land cover. | any | n.a. |
| mixedLandUse | Mixed land use. Areas with more than one permanent use (arable land, grassland, or permanent crop), with areas less than 0.2 ha or not separated from a permanent topographic element, | any | n.a. |
| shrub | Area covered with shrub and grass, currently defined as non-agricultural area. | any | n.a. |
| shortRotationCoppice | Perennial crops with short rotation cycle. For eligibility, | narrower | permanentCrop |
| forest | Wooded lands, suitable for silvicultural activities | any | n.a. |
| waterAndWetZones | Water areas and wet zones | any | n.a. |

Annex 2. Extension of the functional LF types in Lithuania

The granularity of LF types used in the MS is finer than the four functional categories defined in (Czucz et al. 2022). When publishing LF data in INSPIRE, MS may opt to:

- Map their original types to the four functional types and publish the latter only,
- Publish the original types together with their code list in an INSPIRE conformant register (see TG Requirements 5 ,6 and 7)

In the following table we provide an example of mapping national LF types to the functional classes. In case of Lithuania, only three functional types are applicable, as stony features are not present in the country. The mapping provided in **Table 9** was performed in course of the IACS/INSPIRE interoperability pilots.


Table 9. Lithuanian LF classes and coded values mapped to the functional LF types

| Functional LF (FLF) class | Description | National code | Feature class delineation conditions | Geometric specifications |
|---------------------------|--|---------------|---|--|
| Woody features | Trees in group | mg0 | The element must be interspersed with or limited to the arable land. It is also permissible to limit to: | 0.01 ha \geq area \leq 0.3 ha |
| | Woody vegetation | mg0 | | |
| Wet features | Ponds with riparian vegetation | ku0 | - ineligible areas; - declared areas of permanent grassland and / or permanent crops. | 0.005 ha \geq area \leq 0.3 ha |
| | Ditches | gr0 | Not more than 5 m from the arable land | width \geq 10 m |
| | Inland channels of fresh water from 1 m wide | gr0 | | |
| Grassy features | Grassy strips (field margins) those area and configuration unchanged for 3 years or more | az0 | Areas adjacent to arable land, woodlands, roads, ditches, other bodies of water | 1m \geq width \leq 20m OR area \geq 0,1 ha |

Source: Kuciene 2002. (link will be inserted after uploading the reports in MarsWiki).

The landscape features as appear on orthoimagery are shown in **Figure 10**.

Figure 10. Mapping example of Lithuanian LF types mapped to the woody, grassy and wet functional classes



Source: Source Kuciene 2002. (link will be inserted after uploading the reports in MarsWiki).

Annex 3. Example of LPIS harmonised dataset in Lithuania

In order to test the usability of the LPIS application schema described in this report, a data harmonisation exercise has been done, consisting in the transformation of a source LPIS sample datasets of Lithuanian and Slovakian test areas. Here we provide the results of the Lithuanian test case. The Slovakian test case concluded with similarly positive feasibility results.

The Lithuanian sample data was accessed through the INSPIRE geoportal in “as-is” form, i.e. in ESRI geodatabase format. In course of testing, a harmonised GML LPIS dataset has been produced using Hale Studio software.

Screenshots of its visualisation in QGIS, obtained using the portrayal styles described in sections 9.2.1 and 0, are shown in the following figures, from which the benefits of the availability of LPIS harmonised datasets across EU are evident.

Figure 11. Thematisation of AgriculturalArea features according to agriculturalAreaType values




Figure 12. Attributes of a selected AgriculturalArea feature




Figure 13. Thematisation of LandscapeFeature features according to landscapeFeatureType values






Figure 14. Attributes of a selected LandscapeFeature feature



Annex 4. Example of LPIS data transformation toward INSPIRE LC data theme

The similarity in scope between the LPIS LandscapeFeature and EcologicalFocusArea featureTypes and the INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType enables the creation of two INSPIRE LC datasets (conformant to the LandCoverVector and LandCoverNomenclature application schemas) from a LPIS dataset (harmonised according to the LPIS schema described in this report). A conceptual mapping from LPIS LandscapeFeature featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType is shown in **Figure 15** and **Error! Reference source not found.**, whilst a conceptual mapping from LPIS EcologicalFocusArea featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType is shown in **Figure 16** and **Table 11**.

Figure 15. Conceptual mapping from LPIS LandscapeFeature featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType




Table 10. Conceptual mapping table from LPIS LandscapeFeature featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType

| LPIS – Landscape feature | INSPIRE – Land cover unit |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| landscapeFeafureId | inspireId |
| geometry | geometry |
| landscapeFeatureType | landCoverObservation |
| beginLifespanVersion | beginLifespanVersion |
| endLifespanVersion | endLifespanVersion |

Figure 16. Conceptual mapping from LPIS EcologicalFocusArea featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType





Table 11 Conceptual mapping table from LPIS EcologicalFocusArea featureType to INSPIRE LandCoverUnit featureType

| LPIS – Ecological focus area | INSPIRE – Land cover unit |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|
| ecologicalFocusAreaId | inspireId |
| geometry | geometry |
| ecologicalFocusAreaType | landCoverObservation |
| beginLifespanVersion | beginLifespanVersion |
| endLifespanVersion | endLifespanVersion |

Starting from this conceptual mapping, a detailed mapping table could be created, facilitating the final data transformation step consisting in the implementation of the mapping rules in a data transformation software, such as Hale Studio or FME.

It is to be highlighted that creating an INSPIRE LC dataset (conformant to the LandCoverVector schema) requires to create one LandCoverDataset feature, of which one to many LandCoverUnits are members, as shown in **Figure 17**.

Figure 17. Relationship between INSPIRE LandCoverDataset featureType and LandCoverUnit featureType



Therefore, one LandCoverDataset feature has to be created and a value has to be assigned to the attributes in the yellow box in **Figure 17**:

- extent: according to ISO 19115, it can be realized through a bounding polygon, a geographic bounding-box or a geographic description (e.g. name of a region ...),
- inspireId: an identifier has to be assigned, according to the instructions provided in section 3.2.5,
- name: a textual name has to be assigned by the data provider,
- nomenclatureDocumentation: this attribute allows to provide documentation on the nomenclature used in the data set. Please note that the core model supports only one nomenclature per data set. According to the INSPIRE LandCoverVector application schema, this nomenclature “can be CORINE, another European nomenclature, a national one or any other LC nomenclature”, modelled with the UML class LandCoverNomenclature. In this specific case it will be related to the nomenclature used in the LandscapeFeatureValueType codeList, whose values will be used to populate the class attribute of LandCoverObservation.

Annex 5. Example of GSA data transformation toward INSPIRE LU data theme

The similarity in scope between the GSA AgriculturalParcel featureType and the INSPIRE ExistingLandUseObject featureType enables the creation of an INSPIRE LU dataset (conformant to the ExistingLandUse application schema) from a GSA dataset (harmonised according to the GSA schema described in this report). A conceptual mapping from GSA AgriculturalParcel featureType to INSPIRE ExistingLandUseObject featureType is shown in **Figure 18**.

Figure 18. Conceptual mapping from GSA AgriculturalParcel featureType to INSPIRE ExistingLandUseObject featureType




Table 12. Conceptual mapping table from GSA AgriculturalParcel featureType to INSPIRE ExistingLandUseObject featureType

| GSA – Agricultural parcel | INSPIRE – Land use unit |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| agriculturalParcelId | inspireId |
| geometry | geometry |
| mainCropValue | specificLandUse |
| beginLifespanVersion | beginLifespanVersion |
| endLifespanVersion | endLifespanVersion |
| validFrom | validFrom |
| validTo | validTo |

Starting from this conceptual mapping, a detailed mapping table could be created, facilitating the final data transformation step consisting in the implementation of the mapping rules in a data transformation software, such as Hale Studio or FME.

Regarding the INSPIRE mandatory attribute hilucsLandUse, it will be populated with the value “agriculture”³¹ taken from the INSPIRE HILUCS codeList³², whilst the GSA attribute crop will be mapped to the INSPIRE


³¹ https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/codelist/HILUCSValue/1_1_Agriculture

³² <https://inspire.ec.europa.eu/codelist/HILUCSValue>

specificLandUse attribute, whose related LandUseClassificationValue codeList will be created and populated with the values of the GSA CropValue codeList.

It is to be highlighted that creating an INSPIRE LU dataset (conformant to the ExistingLandUse schema) requires to create one ExistingLandUseDataSet feature, of which one to many ExistingLandUseObject are members, as shown in **Figure 19**.

Figure 19. Relationship between INSPIRE ExistingLandUse featureType and ExistingLandUseObject featureType



Therefore, one ExistingLandUseDataSet feature has to be created and a value has to be assigned to the attributes in the yellow box in **Figure 19**:

- extent: the extent of an ExistingLandUseDataset is defined as the boundary of the union of all the polygons (ExistingLandUseObject) that are a member of the ExistingLandUseDataset,
- inspireId: an identifier has to be assigned, according to the instructions provided in section 3.2.5,
- name: a textual name has to be assigned by the data provider.

GETTING IN TOUCH WITH THE EU

In person

All over the European Union there are hundreds of Europe Direct centres. You can find the address of the centre nearest you online (european-union.europa.eu/contact-eu/meet-us_en).

On the phone or in writing

Europe Direct is a service that answers your questions about the European Union. You can contact this service:

- by freephone: 00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11 (certain operators may charge for these calls),
- at the following standard number: +32 22999696,
- via the following form: european-union.europa.eu/contact-eu/write-us_en.

FINDING INFORMATION ABOUT THE EU

Online

Information about the European Union in all the official languages of the EU is available on the Europa website (european-union.europa.eu).

EU publications

You can view or order EU publications at op.europa.eu/en/publications. Multiple copies of free publications can be obtained by contacting Europe Direct or your local documentation centre (european-union.europa.eu/contact-eu/meet-us_en).

EU law and related documents

For access to legal information from the EU, including all EU law since 1951 in all the official language versions, go to EUR-Lex (eur-lex.europa.eu).

Open data from the EU

The portal data.europa.eu provides access to open datasets from the EU institutions, bodies and agencies. These can be downloaded and reused for free, for both commercial and non-commercial purposes. The portal also provides access to a wealth of datasets from European countries.

Science for policy

The Joint Research Centre (JRC) provides independent, evidence-based knowledge and science, supporting EU policies to positively impact society



EU Science Hub

joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu



@EU_ScienceHub



EU Science Hub - Joint Research Centre



EU Science, Research and Innovation



EU Science Hub



@eu_science



Publications Office
of the European Union